

TERRESTRIAL MAMMAL FAUNA AND THREATENED SPECIES IN FRANCE

FRANÇOIS DE BEAUFORT, HERVÉ MAURIN AND PATRICK HAFFNER

*Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle, Service du Patrimoine Naturel
57 rue Cuvier, F - 75 231 Paris cedex 05, France*

ABSTRACT – With the passing of time, the terrestrial mammal fauna changed considerably in France: definitive extinctions, temporary disappearances, natural reappearitions, introductions and reintroductions. 21 species are considered as threatened (endangered and vulnerable) and two have completely disappeared. However, more precise data are needed for a few of them.

Key Words: Threatened species, Terrestrial mammals, France.

The terrestrial mammal fauna of France includes 99 regular, breeding species, of which 11 are introduced species and 5 regular non breeding. Such a fauna is relatively rich: it represents about 45% of European species. France's biogeographic position is favourable and representative of European diversity owing a wide variety of situations including continental, atlantic, alpine and mediterranean. Strictly speaking, France has no national endemic mammals but shares several endemic species with neighbouring countries: it is the case for Pyrenean desman (*Galemys pyrenaicus*) and several species of *Microtus terricola* (Beaufort and Fayard, 1983)

During ancient historical periods (before 1600), four species have disappeared. Seven additional species have lost during more recent historical times.

Happily we have recovered five of them owing to presumed natural recolonization from neighbouring European populations. Such was the case, for example, for grey seal in the nineteen-sixties, for the common seal in the nineteen-seventies, and for the wolf in 1992. The reappearance of the wolf in the extreme south-east of France (Mercantour National Park) in consequence of its natural occurrence from Italy is the most striking and recent reacquisition (Maurin and Keith, 1994).

The status of introduced species is very heterogenous: two species (Rodents) have a large and continuous distribution; three species remain very localised; the Florida rabbit has been largely introduced in a first step but its implantation did not really succeeded and is now doubtful. Voluntary and managed reintroductions concern eight species such as continental lynx (Vosges, Jura and Alps), while the definite disappearance of this species from Pyrenees is still discussed; as for the seven other ones, they had not completely disappeared or had partly reappeared (*Capra ibex*) and they have benefited of regional reimplantations (Maurin and Keith, 1994).

Two extinct species since 1600 did not reappeared and have not been reintroduced: moreover, thirty species (29%) can be considered as rare (9 species), vulnerable (13 species) or endangered (8 species) at the national scale. It has to be

Table 1 - Mammals of France: status and evolution

| | | |
|---|----------------------------|---|
| A/ TOTAL NUMBER OF TERRESTRIAL* MAMMAL SPECIES : | 104 | of which 11 introduced species |
| and to add : - occasional : | 5 | <i>Odobenus rosmarus</i> , <i>Erignatus barbatus</i> , <i>Phoca groenlandica</i> , <i>Phoca hispida</i> , <i>Cystophora cristata</i> . |
| and : - extinct species, before 1600 : | 4 | |
| and : - extinct species, since 1600 : | 2 | 5 additional species have disappeared and have reappeared |
| Maximal proved number of mammal species : | 110 | |
| * excluding Cetaceans | | |
| B/ LIST OF MAMMALS ENDEMIC TO FRANCE | none | |
| C/ LIST OF EXTINCT SPECIES : | YEAR | |
| 1. historical period : before 1600 : | | |
| <i>Equus ferus</i> | 18th century | historical evidences or data are rare |
| <i>Bos primigenius</i> | 6th century | historical evidences or data are rare |
| Bison <i>bison</i> | 7th century | historical evidences or data are rare |
| <i>Prolagus sardus</i> | 18th century | historical evidences or data are rare |
| 2. after 1600 : | | |
| <i>(Canis lupus)</i> | 1939 | reappeared locally in 1992 in Mercantour national park |
| <i>(Lynx lynx)</i> | 1910(Alpes) | partly reappeared (1984), partly reintroduced (1983 and post.) maybe remained in the Pyrenees |
| <i>Monachus monachus</i> | 1975 | last observations in Corsica |
| <i>(Halichoerus grypus)</i> | by the end of 18th century | reappeared (around 1960) |
| <i>(Phoca vitulina)</i> | 1930 | reappeared since 1979 |
| <i>(Capra ibex)</i> | 1815 | partly reappeared (around 1950), partly reintroduced (1972 and post.) |
| <i>Capra pyrenaica</i> | by the end of 19th century | to reintroduce |

| D/ LIST OF INTRODUCED NON INDIGENOUS SPECIES : | YEAR | REASONS FOR INTRODUCTION | ACCLIMATION |
|--|-------------------------------|---|--|
| <i>Procyon lotor</i> | 1960; sparse observ. | accidental : escaped | very localised, sparse but continuous observations |
| <i>Mustela vison</i> | beginning of 20th century | accidental : escaped | in way of extension |
| <i>Cervus dama</i> | ancient | deliberate. for cynegetic or ornamental purposes, or accidental | many captive and some feral populations |
| <i>Cervus (Sika) nippon</i> | from 1913 | deliberate, for cynegetic or ornamental purposes | large distribution, populations § still limited |
| <i>Hydropotes inermis</i> | around 1960 | accidental : escaped | very limited range (one feral population) |
| <i>Callosciurus erythraeus</i> | around 1980 | accidental : escaped | very limited range |
| <i>Ondatra zibethicus</i> | beginning of 20th century | accidental : escaped | large extension |
| <i>Rattus norvegicus</i> | 18th century | accidental, linked with human activities | large extension |
| <i>Rattus rattus</i> | 4th century B.C. | accidental, linked with human activities | large extension |
| <i>Mus musculus</i> | 7th century B.C. | accidental, linked with human activities | large extension |
| <i>Myocastor coypus</i> | by the end of 19th century | accidental : escaped or deliberate for ecological purposes | large extension |
| <i>Sylvilagus floridanus</i> | around 1953, not acclimatized | deliberate, for cynegetic purposes | probably not acclimatized definitively |

E/ LIST OF REINTRODUCED SPECIES

1. after their complete disparition

| | | | |
|-------------------|----------------|-------------------------|--------------------------------|
| <i>Lynx lynx</i> | 1983 and post. | for biological purposes | maybe remained in the Pyrenees |
| <i>Capra ibex</i> | 1972 and post. | for biological purposes | |

(continued)

| F/ LIST OF REINTRODUCED SPECIES | YEAR | REASONS FOR INTRODUCTION | ACCLIMATATION |
|--|------------------|---------------------------------------|--|
| 2. after only regional disparities | | | |
| <i>Ursus arctos</i> | 1996 | for biological purposes | acclimatation not yet confirmed |
| <i>Lutra lutra</i> | from 1972 | for biological purposes | acclimatation not confirmed |
| <i>Felis silvestris</i> | after 1950 | for biological purposes | acclimatation not confirmed |
| <i>Cervus elaphus</i> | from a long time | for cynegetic purposes | |
| <i>Rupicapra rupicapra</i> | from 1956 | for cynegetic purposes | |
| <i>Marmota marmota</i> | from 1950 | for cynegetic and biological purposes | |
| <i>Castor fiber</i> | from 1965 | for biological purposes | |
| G/ LIST OF APPEARED OR REAPPEARED SPECIES | YEAR | ORIGIN | ACCLIMATATION |
| 1. spontaneously appeared non indigenous species | | | |
| <i>Nyctereutes procyonoides</i> | from 1979 | spontaneous geographical extension | non yet established as a stable population |
| 2. disappeared then spontaneously reappeared indigenous species | | | |
| <i>Canis lupus</i> | 1992 | spontaneous | |
| <i>Lynx lynx</i> | from 1984 | first reintroduced, then spontaneous | |
| <i>Halticoerus grypus</i> | around 1960 | spontaneous | |
| <i>Pkoca vitulina</i> | 1979 | spontaneous | |
| <i>Capra ibex</i> | from 1950 | first spontaneous, then reintroduced | |

Table 2 - Threatened mammals in France

| | RELATIVE ABUNDANCE | TENDANCY OF POP. | TENDANCY OF RANGE |
|----------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Endangered | | | |
| <i>Rhinolophus mehelyi</i> | very rare | regression | regression |
| <i>Myotis dasycneme</i> | rare | regression | no evidence |
| <i>Canis lupus</i> | very rare | extension | extension |
| <i>Ursus arctos</i> | very rare | regression | regression |
| <i>Lutra lutra</i> | rare | stability | partly extension, partly regression |
| <i>Mustela lutreola</i> | rare | regression | regression |
| <i>Lynx lynx</i> | rare | extension | extension |
| <i>Phoca vitulina</i> | very rare | extension | extension |
| Vulnerable | | | |
| <i>Rhinolophus ferrumequinum</i> | rare | regression | no evidence |
| <i>Rhinolophus hipposideros</i> | rare | regression | no evidence |
| <i>Rhinolophus euryale</i> | rare | regression | regression |
| <i>Myotis myotis</i> | not common | regression | no evidence |
| <i>Myotis blythi</i> | rare | regression | no evidence |
| <i>Myotis capaccinii</i> | rare | regression | no evidence |
| <i>Myotis emarginatus</i> | rare | regression | no evidence |
| <i>Myotis bechsteini</i> | rare | stability (?) | stability (?) |
| <i>Nyctalus noctula</i> | rare | stability (?) | stability (?) |
| <i>Nyctalus leisleri</i> | rare | stability (?) | stability (?) |
| <i>Barbastella barbastellus</i> | rare | regression | no evidence |
| <i>Miniopterus schreibersi</i> | not common | regression | no evidence |
| <i>Halichoerus grypus</i> | rare | stability | stability |

(continued)

Rare

| | RELATIVE ABUNDANCE | TENDANCY OF POP. | TENDANCY OF FRANGE |
|----------------------------|--------------------|------------------|--------------------|
| <i>Sorex alpinus</i> | rare | stability (?) | stability (?) |
| <i>Talpa caeca</i> | rare | stability (?) | stability (?) |
| <i>Galemys pyrenaicus</i> | rare | regression | stability |
| <i>Tadarida teniotis</i> | rare | stability (?) | stability (?) |
| <i>Myotis brandti</i> | rare | stability (?) | stability (?) |
| <i>Vesperugo murinus</i> | rare | stability (?) | stability (?) |
| <i>Eptesicus nilssonii</i> | rare | stability (?) | stability (?) |
| <i>Cricetus cricetus</i> | rare | regression | stability |
| <i>Lepus timidus</i> | rare | stability | stability |

noted that among these, 18 species are Chiroptera and this reflects also the relative lack of information on this group as well as the lack of comparative data on their previous status. The “Red” status of French mammal fauna is very comparable to the general situation of mammals as the European scale (Beaufort, 1991).

REFERENCES

- Beaufort, F. (de) and Fayard, A.(coord.), 1983. Livre Rouge des mammifères menacés. In Beaufort, F. (de) and Maurin H. (coord.), Livre Rouge des espèces menacées en France, tome 1 Vertébrés, collection Inventaires de Faune et de Flore, fascicule 19. Paris, MNHN-SFF : 33-80.
- Beaufort, F. (de), 1991. Mammals of Europe. Status and repartition. Cartography. Paris, MNHN : 62pp.
- Maurin, H. and Keith, P. (dir.), 1994. Inventaire de la faune menacée en France; le Livre Rouge. Paris, Nathan, MNHN, WWF : 176pp.