

Reconstructing the phylogeny of Genus *Apodemus* (Rodentia: Muridae), providing the new mitogenome data

Derya Çetintürk¹, Sophio Maglakelidze², Alexander Bukhnikashvili³, Giorgi Sheklashvili³, Nuri Yigit¹

¹Biology Department, Faculty of Science, Ankara University

²Department of Botany and Zoology, Masaryk University

³Institute of Zoology, Ilia State University

A - Research concept and design, B - Collection and/or assembly of data, C - Data analysis and interpretation, D - Writing the article, E - Critical revision of the article, F - Final approval of the article

Abstract:

The phylogenetic relationships between the subgenera and/or species of genus *Apodemus* are controversial and problematic. In this context, complete mitogenome analyses, along with Cytochrome b and Cytochrome oxidase I data, were performed to elucidate the phylogeny of this genus. The complete mitochondrial genome characteristics of four species (*Apodemus mystacinus*, *Apodemus uralensis*, *Apodemus ponticus*, and *Apodemus witherbyi*) were reported for the first time, and mean genetic distance (d) and Bayesian Inference (BI) trees were provided. Accordingly, *Sylvaemus*, *Karstomys*, *Apodemus*, and *Alsoyms* subgenera were separated by high d values and hypothesised to be different genera. In terms of *Sylvaemus* species, mitogenome analyses gave more statistically reliable results, with *Syl. flavicollis*/ *Syl. ponticus* being closer to *Syl. uralensis*, while *Syl. witherbyi* was closer to these species than *A. sylvaticus*. In addition, the results of the analyses support the idea that *Syl. ponticus* is a distinct species from *Syl. flavicollis*.

Keywords: *Apodemus*, wood mouse, mitogenome, *Sylvaemus*.

Received: 2025-06-22

Revised: 2025-11-06

Accepted: 2025-11-10

Final review: 2025-10-14

Short title

Mitogenome analyses of genus *Apodemus*

Corresponding author

Derya Çetintürk

Biology Department, Faculty of Science, Ankara University;

email: dcetinturk@ankara.edu.tr

RECONSTRUCTING THE PHYLOGENY OF GENUS *APODEMUS* (RODENTIA: MURIDAE), PROVIDING THE NEW MITOGENOME DATA

Running Title: Mitogenome analyses of genus *Apodemus*

Abstract

The phylogenetic relationships between the subgenera and/or species of genus *Apodemus* are controversial and problematic. In this context, complete mitogenome analyses, along with Cytochrome b and Cytochrome oxidase I data, were performed to elucidate the phylogeny of this genus. The complete mitochondrial genome characteristics of four species (*Apodemus mystacinus*, *Apodemus uralensis*, *Apodemus ponticus*, and *Apodemus witherbyi*) were reported for the first time, and mean genetic distance (d) and Bayesian Inference (BI) trees were provided. Accordingly, *Sylvaemus*, *Karstomys*, *Apodemus*, and *Alsoyms* subgenera were separated by high d values and hypothesised to be different genera. In terms of *Sylvaemus* species, mitogenome analyses gave more statistically reliable results, with *Syl. flavicollis*/ *Syl. ponticus* being closer to *Syl. uralensis*, while *Syl. witherbyi* was closer to these species than *A. sylvaticus*. In addition, the results of the analyses support the idea that *Syl. ponticus* is a distinct species from *Syl. flavicollis*.

Keywords: *Apodemus*, *Sylvaemus*, wood mouse, mitogenome

Introduction

Apodemus (Kaup, 1829), the most widespread rodent genus in the temperate zone of the Palearctic Region, is represented by more than 20 wood mouse species and is found in fields and broad-leaf forests (Corbet, 1978; Musser and Carleton, 2005). This genus began to diversify 8-10 MYA (Late Miocene/7.82-12.74 MYA), probably from Eastern or Central Asia, with an adaptive radiation event, and evolved into five subgenera with speciation triggered by climatic, geologic, and vegetation variations: *Sylvaemus* (*Apodemus sylvaticus* Linnaeus, 1758; *Apodemus flavicollis* Melchior, 1834; *Apodemus ponticus* Sviridenko, 1936; *Apodemus alpicola* Heinrich, 1952; and *Apodemus uralensis* Heinrich, 1952; *Apodemus* (*Apodemus peninsulae* Thomas, 1907; *Apodemus chevrieri* Milne-Edwards, 1868; *Apodemus agrarius* Pallas, 1771; *Apodemus speciosus* Temminck, 1845; *Apodemus draco* Barrett-Hamilton, 1900; *Apodemus illex* Thomas, 1922; *Apodemus semotus* Thomas, 1908; and *Apodemus latronum* Thomas, 1911), *Karstomys* (*Apodemus mystacinus* Danford and Alston, 1877; *Apodemus epimelas* Nehring, 1902), *Argenteus* (*Apodemus argenteus* Temminck, 1844), and *Gurkha*

(*Apodemus gurkha* Thomas, 1924) (Serizawa et al., 2000; Michaux et al., 2002; Suzuki et al., 2003, 2008; Liu et al., 2004). Recently, Mezhzherin and Tereschchenko (2023) recognised *Sylvaemus* as a separate genus and included the subgenus *Karstomys* in this genus; they also included species such as *A. argenteus*, *A. gurkha*, *A. speciosus*, and *A. draco* in the genus *Alsomys*.

As ideal species for biogeographic studies, especially for environmental changes such as habitat expansion and contraction (Serizawa et al., 2000), these wood mouse species have been systematically and phylogenetically studied by many researchers for many years. However, phylogenetic relationships, morphological distinctions between subspecies and species, and species boundaries are controversial, especially in *Sylvaemus*. One of the reasons for this situation is that the morphological characteristics of these species are quite similar, which can lead to mistakes in species definitions (Hoofer et al., 2007). Another reason is that studies often yield conflicting results, even when based on the same gene regions. For example, regarding *A. flavicollis*, *A. uralensis*, and *A. sylvaticus*, some authors suggested that *A. flavicollis* and *A. uralensis* are closer (Michaux et al., 2002; Bellinvia et al., 2004; Suzuki et al., 2008; Mezhzherin and Tereschchenko, 2023), some other authors offered that *A. flavicollis* and *A. sylvaticus* are closer (Liu et al., 2004; Hoofer et al., 2007; Bugarski-Stanojević et al., 2011; Darvish et al., 2015), while Bellinvia et al. (1999) found that *A. uralensis* and *A. sylvaticus* are closer. Contrary to these authors, Filippucci et al. (2008) defined *A. flavicollis* and *A. hermonensis* (synonym: *A. witherbyi*) as closest. As can be seen, there is confusion and uncertainty in the systematics of *Apodemus*. Therefore, to elucidate the phylogeny of this genus, more comprehensive methods are needed, rather than analyses focusing on single gene regions.

For many years, rodent systematics has been based primarily on morphology, followed by allozyme and molecular systematic studies evaluating mitochondrial and nuclear gene regions. Recently, studies analysing the complete mitochondrial DNA (approximately 16 kb in length, with 37 gene regions) (Anderson et al., 1981) have been on the rise. mtDNA is considered to be an ideal tool due to the absence of recombination and repair mechanisms and introns, and its rapid rate of evolution (Clary and Wolstenholme, 1985; Wolstenholme, 1992; Nabholz et al., 2009; Fernández-Silva et al., 2003; Ballard and Whitlock, 2004; Aanen et al., 2014). Considering the genus *Apodemus*, the mitogenome characteristics of *A. latronum*, *A. peninsulae*, *A. agrarius*, and *A. draco* (Kim and Park, 2011, 2012; Oh et al., 2011; Yue et al., 2012; Jeon et al., 2016; Yue et al., 2016; Wu et al., 2022; Kim et al., 2023) were extracted. In this study, in addition to the complete mitogenomes of four species (*A. mystacinus*, *A. uralensis*,

A. witherbyi, and *A. ponticus*), phylogenetic analyses were performed to contribute to the systematics of wood mouse species. Besides, Cytochrome-b and Cytochrome oxidase I sequences were also analyzed to compare the single gene and mitogenome results.

Materials and Methods

Sampling

One specimen each of *A. mystacinus*, *A. uralensis*, *A. witherbyi*, and *A. ponticus* specimens for mitogenome analyses was collected under the permissions (#2722/01; 2302/01; R/057-21) issued by the Georgian Ministry of Environmental Protection and Agriculture. The field research and initial morphological analysis of the species were conducted by the Animal Research Group at the Institute of Zoology, Ilia State University (Georgia). The specimens were identified based on morphological characteristics and COI region analysis, as described by Maglakelidze et al. (2024). In addition, sequences of other *Apodemus* species (*A. flavicollis*, *A. sylvaticus*, *A. alpicola*, *A. agrarius*, *A. chevrieri*, *A. chejuensis*, *A. peninsulae*, *A. gorkha*, *A. draco*, *A. latronum*) and *Mus musculus musculus* and *Mus musculus domesticus* (outgroups) stored in GenBank (<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genbank/>) and BoldSystems (<https://boldsystems.org/>) (Tab. 1.) were also included in the analyses.

Laboratory Studies

DNA extraction kits were utilized to isolate the genomic DNA using muscle tissues of the samples. The NEBNext Ultra DNA Library Prep Kit was utilized for subsequent library preparation; paired-end sequencing was optimized with a precise 150-base pair insert size configuration. For sequencing the high-throughput Illumina NovaSeq 6000 platform was employed; first, the quality of the reads obtained from the NGS reaction was evaluated. In order to evaluate the read quality and sequencing depth, summary statistical data were created. A set of high-quality reads in the ".fastq" or ".fq" format was also obtained with a quality filtering process. Following the stage above, the genetic composition, profile, and potential functionalities were clarified through three subsequent stages: quality control of NGS data and filtering, de novo genome assembly, and mitochondrial genome annotation. All of these processes were conducted by Genoks LifeScience Inc. (Turkiye).

Genome Analyses

After the NGS sequencing reaction, the total number of reads, total sequence length, Phred Score (Q); Q20 and Q30 quality scores, and % GC contents were determined. Mitochondrial genome annotation was completed in MitoZ version 3.6 (Meng et al., 2019). For visualization of the assembled circular genome and its annotations, Circos v0.69-8 (Krzywinski et al., 2009) was used. Genetic diversity values (haplotype diversity (Hd), nucleotide diversity (Pi), number of mutations, and polymorphic sites) were calculated in DnaSP V6 (Rozas et al., 2018).

In Mega11 Software (Tamura et al. 2021), the sequences were aligned, and 16.882 (mitogenome), 347 (CYTB), and 488 (COI) base-pairs of raw data were generated, and the mean genetic distance (d) values were also determined based on the p -distance parameter (Hamming 1950). Bayesian Inference (BI) trees were constructed using mitogenome, CYTB, and COI data in MrBayes 3.2. Program (Ronquist et al., 2011) with 1.000.000 generations with 100 samples each, with a 25% burn-in. GTR+G (mitogenome and COI data, Tavaré, 1986) and HKY+G (CYTB data, Hasegawa et al., 1985) were identified as the best substitution models for Bayesian trees in jModeltest 2.1.10 (Guindon and Gascuel, 2003; Darriba et al., 2012).

Divergence times of the species were calculated in BEAST 1.7.5 Program (Drummond and Rambaut, 2007). The mammalian mtDNA divergence rate (2% per 1 my; Avise et al., 1998), and the *Mus/Arvicanthis* split (11.2 MYA-fossil data) (Fabre et al., 2013) were taken into consideration with a normal distribution as a calibration point. The “xml” format file was prepared according to the Yule process of speciation” and “Lognormal relaxed clock” models in Beauti Program stored in BEAST 1.7.5 and it was analysed in five repetitions, each chain length being 20.000.000 which is sampled every 2.000 generations. The acquired five files were unified using LogCombiner, and a tree was established in TreeAnnotator with a burn-in of 5.000. Stationarity and convergence were checked in Tracer 1.5 Software (<http://beast.bio.ed.ac.uk/Tracer>), and it was ensured that all effective sample size (ESS) values were 200 or higher.

Results

Mitogenome Structures of The Studied Species

For the 4 species with complete mtDNA sequences, 37 gene regions comprising 13 protein-coding genes, 22 tRNA genes, and 2 rRNA genes were identified (Fig. S1-4). GC% values were calculated as 35.21% in the 16.422 base-pair *A. mystacinus* sequence, as 36.02% in the 16.462 base-pair *A. uralensis* sequence, as 35.46% in the 16449 base-pair *A. witherbyi* sequence, and

as 36.31% in the 16311 base-pair *A. ponticus* sequence. Besides, while tRNA^{Pro}, tRNA^{Glu}, ND6, tRNA^{Ser}, tRNA^{Tyr}, tRNA^{Cys}, tRNA^{Asn}, tRNA^{Ala}, and tRNA^{Gln} are placed in the L strand, the other gene regions are located in the H strand (Fig. S1-4.). Considering all *Apodemus* sequences, 52398 polymorphic sites and 7007 mutations were accounted for with a total haplotype diversity (Hd) value of 0.992 and a nucleotide diversity (Pi) value of 0.107.

Phylogenetic Analyses of Mitogenome Data

The mean genetic distance values were estimated as 1.49-15.55% in the mitogenome analyses (Tab. 2.). According to these results, *A. agrarius* and *A. chejuensis* are the closest species, whereas *A. sylvaticus* and *A. draco* are the furthest species. Bayesian Inference (BI) tree (Fig. 1) showed that *A. latronum* and *A. draco* are closer to each other, formed a separate lineage (pp: 0.99). *A. agrarius*, *A. chevrieri*, and *A. chejuensis* also built a separate lineage and were closer to the *A. peninsulae* samples (pp: 1.00). Furthermore, the specimens of *A. flavicollis* and *A. ponticus* are close taxa although they split, and *A. mystacinus* formed a different lineage (pp: 0.99-1.00). On the other hand, in the mitogenome tree, *A. sylvaticus* differed from the others (pp: 1.00), and *A. uralensis* and *A. witherbyi* are more closely related to *A. flavicollis* and *A. ponticus* (pp: 1.00).

Moreover, 4 lineages belong to *Sylvaemus* (*A. sylvaticus*, *A. flavicollis*, *A. ponticus*, *A. uralensis*, and *A. witherbyi*), *Karstomys* (*A. mystacinus*), *Apodemus* (*A. peninsulae*, *A. chevrieri*, *A. chejuensis*, and *A. agrarius*), and *Alsomys* (*A. draco* and *A. latronum*) were identified in the BI tree (Fig. 1) (pp: 0.86-1.00) with the *d* values of 13.6-15.4% (Tab. 3). Divergence times of these lineages and species were dated back to 10.56 MYA as provided in Fig. 1 in detail.

Phylogenetic Analyses of CYTB and COI Data

d values were detected as 1.73% (*A. agrarius* -*A. chejuensis*) -18.23% (*A. sylvaticus*-*A. latronum*) for the CYTB marker and 2.01% (*A. agrarius* -*A. chejuensis*) - 16.84% (*A. sylvaticus* -*A. chejuensis*) for the COI marker (Tab. S1-2).

In the Bayesian Inference (BI) trees of CYTB, and COI data (Fig. 1, S5, S6), similar to mitogenome tree (Fig. 1), distinct clades of *Sylvaemus*, *Karstomys*, *Apodemus*, and *Alsomys* lineaged were observed (S5-6). The mean genetic distance (*d*) values between these lineages were defined as 13.3-15.3% (CYTB) and 12.5-15.6% (COI) (Tab. S3-S4). However, *A. peninsulae* (from *Apodemus* group) was located with *Alsomys* group (pp: 0.93) in the CYTB tree and these two groups split with pp: 0.53. Also, *A. gurkha* is closer to *Apodemus* than

Alsomys (pp: 0.95). Furthermore, the specimens of *A. flavicollis* and *A. ponticus* are not separated in the CYTB tree, but are close in the COI tree, whereas *A. mystacinus* differs from these species. In the CYTB tree, *A. uralensis* samples were separated from the others (pp: 0.51-0.96), and *A. witherbyi* and *A. alpicola* were closely positioned (pp: 0.96). In the COI tree, *A. witherbyi* was the most distant taxon (pp: 1.00), while *A. uralensis* was closest to *A. alpicola*, *A. flavicollis*, and *A. ponticus* (pp: 0.51-0.91).

Discussion

Mitogenomes of *Apodemus* Species

The complete mitochondrial DNA data, ranging from 16.322 to 16.462 base pairs, of four wood mouse species were presented for the first time. Base pair lengths vary because there are overlaps in some regions (the longest overlap between ATP6 and ATP8 is composed of 43 nucleotides), as well as the length of some gene regions varies between species by 1-141 base pairs (Fig. S1-4.). Also, GC% values were lower than AT% values (35.21-36.31%). The evolutionary significance of this situation is that it leads to a high mutation rate in rodents (Triant and DeWoody, 2006); because AT and GC pairs were bonded with two and three hydrogen bonds, respectively, and the stability of the double helix structure of DNA was decreased due to the high AT content (Yakovchuk et al., 2006). Furthermore, as a typical feature of mammals, the 12S rRNA and the 16S rRNA genes were located between tRNA^{Phe} and tRNA^{Leu} genes and were separated by the tRNA^{Val} gene (Wolstenholme, 1992; Ding et al., 2016).

Upon examining the studies in the literature, for *A. peninsulae*, Kim and Park (2011), Oh et al. (2011), Jeon et al. (2016), and Kim et al. (2023) reported 16.268, 16.266, 16.457, and 6.268 bp of mitogenome data, respectively; Oh et al. (2011) also detected similar gene overlaps. Kim and Park (2012) evaluated the complete mitogenome of *A. agrarius* (16.263 bp). Yue et al. (2012) studied the mitogenome of *A. chevrieri* (16.298), defining the overlapping of some genes. Yue et al. (2016) provided 16.288 base pairs of *A. latronum* mitogenome sequence and found that the ATP8 and ATP6, ATP6 and COX3, and ND4L and ND4 overlapped in reading frames. Wu et al. (2022) found high AT content for *Apodemus agrarius ningpoensis* (16.262 bp, 63.74%) and *Apodemus draco draco* (16.222 bp, 64.12%). Besides, they interpreted the presence of gene overlap and spacing as murid rodents need to continuously improve DNA utilization, and it is extremely beneficial to the evolutionary process.

Phylogeny of Genus *Apodemus* Based on the Mitogenome Data

Regarding the results obtained in terms of subgenera, four groups appeared in the mitogenome tree (Fig. 1): *Sylvaemus* (*A. sylvaticus*, *A. flavicollis*, *A. ponticus*, *A. uralensis*, and *A. witherbyi*), *Karstomys* (*A. mystacinus*), *Apodemus* (*A. peninsulae*, *A. chevrieri*, *A. chejuensis*, and *A. agrarius*), and *Alsomys* (*A. draco* and *A. latronum*). Distribution of the studied species were given in Fig. 2-5. The mean genetic distance (d) values between these groups were calculated as 13.6-15.4%. Accordingly, *Sylvaemus* and *Karstomys*, which are the West Palearctic wood mice, are close groups, with genetic distance value of 14.0%. These two groups have some morphological similarities (the number of roots on the upper molars and mammary glands) and according to the results of allozyme and CYTB data in the literature (Britton-Davidian et al., 1991; Mezhzherin, 1997; Filippucci et al., 2002; Michaux et al., 2002; Mezhzherin and Tereshchenko, 2023), *Karstomys* has been placed within *Sylvaemus*. Genetic distance (CYTB gene region) values were reported by Martin et al. (2000) (16.0%), Michaux et al. (2002) (8.8%), Liu et al. (2004) (6.9%; 6.8% with other *Apodemus* species), and Mezhzherin and Tereshchenko (2023) (17.6%). On the other hand, the fact that *A. mystacinus* (*Karstomys*) is distinctly different from other *Sylvaemus* species in terms of external morphological features (Rietschel and Storch, 1973; Storch, 1975) and the genetic distance values are quite high, seems to support the idea that *Karstomys* may be a separate genus rather than a subgenus of *Sylvaemus*.

The 4 pairs of mammary glands are also a common morphological feature for the East Palearctic subgenera, *Alsomys* and *Apodemus*, but the number of roots on the upper molars is different. Phylogenetic analyses also show that they are quite distinct in our and other studies, and their genetic distance levels are 13.6-15.2% (17.8% reported by Mezhzherin and Tereshchenko, 2023). In our study, the d values between the 4 groups were quite high and even close and/or high with the genus *Mus*. Considering the findings, we suggest that *Apodemus*, *Karstomys*, *Sylvaemus*, and *Alsomys* should be considered as separate genera, especially due to the high levels of genetic distance. To summarise from a phylogenetic point of view, divergence of the ancestral form of “*Apodemus*” started back to the Late Miocene-Vallesian period in the South of the Eastern Palearctic (possibly southeastern China; Yue et al., 2022) and evolved to the modern *Sylvaemus*, *Alsomys*, and *Apodemus* genera. Followed by the first split, the second divergence caused the separation and radiation of the East Palearctic wood mice (*Apodemus* and *Alsomys*). After that, the West Palearctic wood mice group (*Sylvaemus* and *Karstomys*) differentiated in the Southwestern borders of the Western Palearctic. The specialisation

following these events continued at the Pleistocene Era, and present species have evolved (Mezhzerin and Tereshchenko, 2023). Geographical barriers such as mountains and deserts, climatic changes caused by Quaternary glacial cycles, and changes in habitat structure with expansion and/or contraction of forests, and refugia have been highly influential in the differentiation of these species (Wu et al. 2001, Sakka et al. 2010). It should be emphasized that besides the mitogenome analyses, ecological, morphological, and other genetic (e.g., nuclear markers) analyses must be conducted in future studies in order to support the validity of these different genera.

The results also confirm the controversial status of *A. chejuensis* as a subspecies of *A. agrarius*, with a genetic distance of 1.49-2.0%. *A. a. chejuensis* was described by Jones and Johnson (1965) as a subspecies from Jeju Island in the southern Korean Peninsula and differs significantly from other subspecies, particularly *Apodemus agrarius coreae* (from mainland Korea), in its larger body size (Koh 1986). There is no consensus on the species or subspecies status of *A. a. chejuensis*, which has been studied by various authors using methods such as morphology, hybridization, and mitochondrial DNA analyses. Koh (1991), Han et al. (1996), Oh and Mori (1998), and Koh et al. (2000) consider *A. a. chejuensis* to be a distinct species due to the reproductive isolation, morphological, and genetic differentiation. On the other hand, Sun et al. (2014) supported the subspecies status based on low genetic distance (1.83% of Jukes-Cantor distance), and Jo et al. (2017) also supported it based on low fixation index values and, consequently, high gene flow, suggesting that reproductive isolation can not be taken into consideration. Oh et al. (2012) found mtDNA distance values of 0.5-2.7% between *A. a. chejuensis* and *A. agrarius coreae*, and Oh et al. (2012) determined that they diverged recently (0.3 MYA, Late Pleistocene (CYTB)). Yoon (1997) offered that Jeju Island is a young area compared to the Korean Peninsula and approximately 2 Mya, volcanic activities caused the formation of this island and Jeju Island has been isolated for 12,000 years due to the increasing of sea level (Ohshino 1990). About the phylogeographic history of *A. a. chejuensis*, two hypothesis have been postulated: Either ancestral *A. a. chejuensis* or *A. agrarius* (distinct from “*chejuensis*”) migrated from the mainland to Jeju Island and diversified there. (Han et al. 1996; Koh et al. 2000; Yoon et al. 2004; Oh et al. 2012). According to CYTB results of Oh et al. (2013), ancestral *A. a. chejuensis* reached Jeju Island via the Yellow Sea basin route, and as indicated by high haplotype diversity and low nucleotide diversity values, rapid expansion occurred after a period of low effective population size. Han et al. (1996) and Koh et al. (2000) calculated the split time of insular *A. a. chejuensis* and mainland *A. a. coreae* as 1.2 and 7000-500,000 years ago (during the last ice age), respectively. Over time, it is inevitable that mainland

and island populations will diverge both morphologically and genetically (Johnson et al. 2000). *A. a. chejuensis* has formed a distinct lineage on Jeju Island, and speciation is ongoing. However, data in the literature and our study, particularly the low genetic distance values, strengthen the possibility of subspecies status.

A. chevrieri, endemic to southwestern China, was initially described by Allen (1940) as *A. agrarius*, but Corbet (1978) considered it as a subspecies of *A. agrarius* based on dorsal pelage and body size. However, based on certain morphological characteristics, serum protein, and DNA analyses, it has been accepted as a separate species, being the closest sibling species to *A. agrarius*. (Xia 1984; Wang et al. 1985; Musser et al. 1996; Wang 2003; Liu et al. 2004; Musser and Carleton, 2005; Ge et al. 2019). For example, the genetic distance between two species was reported as 8.2% (CYTB, Liu et al. 2004), 7.4% and 6.9% (CYTB and COI, Ge et al. 2019), while in our study this value is 5.63-8.08%, confirming the idea that *A. chevrieri* has formed a distinct species through allopatric speciation.

Phylogenetic Positions of The *Sylvaemus* Species

The distribution areas of the species belonging to *Sylvaemus* were given in Fig. 2 (IUCN, 2025). The phylogenetic relationships of these species have been tried to be solved for years, but the results obtained by various authors with allozyme, mitochondrial DNA (CYTB, 12S rRNA, D-loop), and nuclear DNA (IRBP, RAG1, I7) analyses contradict each other. Michaux et al. (2002), Bellinvia et al. (2004), Suzuki et al. (2008), and Mezhzhherin and Tereschchenko (2023) argued that *A. (Syl.) flavicollis* and *A. (Syl.) uralensis* are closer to each other than the remaining species. However, according to Liu et al. (2004), Hoofer et al. (2007), Bugarski-Stanojević et al. (2011), and Darvish et al. (2015), *A. (Syl.) flavicollis* and *A. (Syl.) sylvaticus* are closely-related species. In contrast, Bellinvia et al. (1999) offered that *A. (Syl.) uralensis* and *A. (Syl.) sylvaticus* are the closest species. Filippucci et al. (2008) underlined the proximity of *A. (Syl.) flavicollis* and *A. (Syl.) hermonensis* (synonym: *A. (Syl.) witherbyi*). These discrepancies may be due to the high rate of saturation in *Sylvaemus*, as suggested by Bellinvia et al. (1999) and (2004), or may be because analysing a single gene region yields limited data, which cannot clearly show the relationship between species, especially those that have evolved rapidly. Therefore, the use of complete mitochondrial DNA will help to solve this problem. In our study, as shown in Fig. 1, the BI tree constructed using the mitogenome yielded higher posterior probability (pp) values than the CYTB and COI trees constructed using much shorter sequences (Fig. 2-3). According to the mitogenome data (Fig. 1), *A. (Syl.) flavicollis* and *A. (Syl.) ponticus* were positioned more closely with *A. (Syl.) uralensis*, followed by *A. (Syl.) witherbyi* and *A.*

(*Syl.*) *sylvaticus*, respectively (pp: 0.99-1.00). This result is in agreement with Michaux et al. (2002), Bellinvia et al. (2004), Suzuki et al. (2008), Bogdanov et al. (2012), and Mezhzherin and Tereschchenko (2023) that *A. (Syl.) flavicollis* and *A. (Syl.) uralensis* are close, but in the first 3 studies (*A. (Syl.) witherbyi* was not analysed in the last study), *A. (Syl.) sylvaticus* is closer to these two species than *A. witherbyi*. The mean genetic distances were 5.44-10.0%, and these *d* values are quite high (>8%) except for *A. (Syl.) flavicollis* and *A. (Syl.) ponticus*. Based on the mitogenome data, it can be concluded that *A. (Syl.) sylvaticus* first diverged and spread in the west (Europe) during a Quaternary glacial period, probably undergoing a postglacial recolonisation and rapid expansion from refugia in the Iberian peninsula and Italo-Balkan region. *A. (Syl.) witherbyi*, formerly recognised as a subspecies of *A. (Syl.) sylvaticus*, may also have diverged and spread to Russia, the Caucasus, and the Near East, with limited distribution in the west (Greece and Turkiye). Similarly, *A. (Syl.) uralensis*, formerly considered as a subspecies of *A. (Syl.) sylvaticus* by Corbet (1978), Ellerman and Morrison-Scott (1951), and Pavlinov and Rossolimo (1987), may have diverged at a later stage, and its sister species, *A. (Syl.) alpicola*, was found allopatrically in Central Europe, while *A. (Syl.) uralensis* may have spread from Eastern Europe to Turkiye, Russia, and Caucasus. While these aforementioned species have a narrower distribution and habitat preferences, *A. (Syl.) flavicollis* is the most widely distributed and most common wood mouse species (Mitchell-Jones et al., 1999). *A. (Syl.) ponticus*, which is allopatrically distributed and morphologically similar to *A. (Syl.) flavicollis*, was suggested by Bellinvia et al. (2004) to be a subspecies of *A. (Syl.) flavicollis*. Balakirev et al. (2007), Suzuki et al. (2008), Bogdanov et al. (2012), and Mezhzherin and Tereschchenko (2023) emphasised the relativity of these two species. Darvish et al. (2015) argued that their divergence time was 2.6 MYA, and that the Praetiglian Cold Stage (Ruddiman and Raymo, 1998) led to the formation of steppe habitats instead of forests (Fauquette et al., 1998, 1999), so that ancestral populations were reduced and restricted. Thus, *A. (Syl.) flavicollis* remained in refugia in the southern Balkans, and *A. (Syl.) ponticus* in the eastern Mediterranean. We calculated the divergence time as 1.85 million years ago, which is a much closer time frame according to Darvish's results. According to Bradley and Baker (2001), genetic distance values among either conspecific populations or valid species can range from 2% to 11%, in general. The average intraspecific genetic distances are 1.5% (0.0-4.7%) for rodents (Baker and Bradley, 2006). On the other hand, the two species have a low genetic distance (2.68 %) (Tab. S1) and are not separated in the CYTB tree (Fig. S5). Likewise, Balakirev et al. (2007) noted that in CYTB analyses, two species with a 1.77% distance came together in trees with low bootstrap values. The CYTB marker may not always strongly reveal phylogenetic relationships between

330 closely related species as it can be observed in the tree which could not discriminate even
331 subgenera (Fig. S5). Additionally, in our study, the *A. (Syl.) ponticus* specimen mixed with *A.*
332 *(Syl.) flavicollis* was collected from Iran and may have been misidentified due to the
333 morphological similarity between the two species. Therefore, *A. (Syl.) ponticus* is most likely a
334 separate species, but further mitogenome analyses with a larger sample size would be more
335 useful.

336 Acknowledgements

337 This study was supported by the Scientific Research Project of Ankara University (FHD-2025-
338 4102). We also thank Giorgi Bakuradze (Ilia State University, Georgia) for his contributions to
339 the creation of the maps.

340 References

- 341 Aanen, D.K., Spelbrink, J.N., Beekman, M., 2014. What cost mitochondria? The maintenance
342 of functional mitochondrial DNA within and across generations. *Philos. Trans. R.*
343 *Soc. B: Biol. Sci.* 369(1646): 20130438. doi:10.1098/rstb.2013.0438.
- 344 Allen G.M., 1940. The Mammals of China and Mongolia. Natural history of Central Asia,
345 American Museum of Natural History (New York). 621-1350.
- 346 Andersen, L.W., Jacobsen, M., Vedel-Smith, C., Jensen, T.S., 2017. Mice as stowaways?
347 Colonization history of Danish striped field mice. *Biol. Lett.* 13(7): 20170064.
348 doi:10.1098/rsbl.2017.0064
- 349 Anderson, S., Bankier, A.T., Barrell, B.G., De Bruijn, M.H.L., Coulson, A.R., Drouin, J., Eperon,
350 I. C., Nierlich, D.P., Roe, B.A., Sanger, F., Schreier, P.H., Smith, A.J.H., Staden, R., Young,
351 I.G., 1981. Sequence and organization of the human mitochondrial genome. *Nature.* 290: 457-
352 465. doi:10.1038/290457a0.
- 353 Amir Afzali, Y., López-Antoñanzas, R., 2024. Molecular phylogeny and historical biogeography
354 of Iranian murids (Rodentia: Muridae). *Mamm. Biol.* 104(1): 79-89. doi:10.1007/s42991-023-
355 00390-3
- 356 Avise, J.C., Walker, D., Johns, G.C. 1998. Speciation durations and Pleistocene effects on
357 vertebrate phylogeography. *Proc. Roy. Soc. London, Ser. B, Biol. Sci.* 265(1407): 1707-1712.
358 doi:10.1098/rspb.1998.0492
- 359 Baker, R. J., Bradley, R. D., 2006. Speciation in mammals and the genetic species concept. *J.*
360 *Mammal.* 87(4): 643-662. doi:10.1644/06-mamm-f-038r2.1

- Balakirev, A.E., Baskevich, M.I., Gmyl, A.P., Okulova, N.M., Andreeva, T.A., Sokolenko, O.V., Malygin, V.M., Khlyap, L.A., Oparin, M.L., Orlov, V.N., 2007. On the taxonomic rank of ciscaucasicus and its relationships with the pygmy wood mouse *Sylvaemus uralensis* inferred from the mtDNA cytochrome b gene sequence. Russ. J. Genet. 43: 1386-1399. doi:10.1134/s1022795407120083
- Ballard, J.W.O., Whitlock, M.C., 2004. The incomplete natural history of mitochondria. Mol. Ecol. 13(4): 729-744. doi:10.1046/j.1365-294x.2003.02063.x.
- Bradley, R. D., Baker, R. J., 2001. A test of the genetic species concept: cytochrome-b sequences and mammals. J. Mammal. 82(4): 960-973. doi:10.1644/1545-1542(2001)082<0960:atotgs>2.0.co;2
- Bellinvia, E., Munclinger, P., Flegr, J., 1999. Application of the RAPD technique for a study of the phylogenetic relationships among eight species of the genus *Apodemus*. Folia Zool. 48(4): 241-248.
- Bellinvia, E., 2004. A phylogenetic study of the genus *Apodemus* by sequencing the mitochondrial DNA control region. J. Zool. Syst. Evol. Res. 42(4): 289-297. doi:10.1111/j.1439-0469.2004.00270.x
- Bogdanov, A.S., Stakheev, V.V., Zykov, A.E., Yakimenko, V.V., Mal'kova, M.G., 2012. Genetic variation and differentiation of wood mice from the genus *Sylvaemus* inferred from sequencing of the cytochrome oxidase subunit 1 gene fragment. Russ. J. Genet. 48: 186-198. doi:10.1134/s1022795412020044
- Bogdanov, A.S., Maltsev, A.N., Kotenkova, E.V., Malikov, V.G., Lissovsky, A.A., Stakheev, V.V., Darvish, J., Castiglia, R. 2020. Variability of fragments of nuclear Brca1 gene, exon 11, and mitochondrial Cox1 gene in house mice *Mus musculus*. Mol Biol. 54(2): 185-195. doi: 10.1134/S0026893320020028
- Britton-Davidian, J., Vahdati, M., Benmehdi, F., Gros, P., Nance, V., Croset, H., Guerassimov, S., Triantaphyllidis, C., 1991. Genetic differentiation in four species of *Apodemus* from Southern Europe: *A. sylvaticus*, *A. flavicollis*, *A. agrarius* and *A. mystacinus* (Muridae, Rodentia). Z Saugetierkd. 56: 25-33.
- Bugarski-Stanojević, V., Blagojević, J., Stamenković, G., Adnađević, T., Giagia-Athanasopoulou, E.B., Vujošević, M., 2011. Comparative study of the phylogenetic structure in six *Apodemus* species (Mammalia, Rodentia) inferred from ISSR-PCR data. Syst. Biodivers. 9(1): 95-106. doi:10.1080/14772000.2011.560970
- Chelomina, G.N., Atopkin, D.M., 2010. Molecular genetic evidence of a deep phylogenetic discontinuity between the Asian and European races of pygmy wood mouse based on the

- mitochondrial cytochrome b gene variation. Molecular biology, 44: 699-708.
doi:10.1134/s0026893310050043
- Clary, D.O., Wolstenholme, D.R., 1985. The mitochondrial DNA molecule of *Drosophila yakuba*: nucleotide sequence, gene organization, and genetic code. J. Mol. Evol. 22(3): 252-271. doi:10.1007/bf02099755.
- Corbet, G.B., 1978. The Mammals of the Palaearctic Region: A Taxonomic Review. Cornell University Press: British Museum (Nat Hist).
- Darriba, D., Taboada, G. L., Doallo, R., Posada, D., 2012. jModelTest 2: more models, new heuristics and high-performance computing. Nat. Methods. 9(8): 772. doi:10.1038/nmeth.2109.
- Darvish, J., Mohammadi, Z., Ghorbani, F., Mahmoudi, A., Dubey, S., 2015. Phylogenetic relationships of *Apodemus* Kaup, 1829 (Rodentia: Muridae) species in the Eastern Mediterranean inferred from mitochondrial DNA, with emphasis on Iranian species. J. Mamm. Evol. 22: 583-595. doi:10.1007/s10914-015-9294-9
- Ding, L., Li, W., Liao, J., 2016. Characterization of the complete mitochondrial genome of *Phodopus roborovskii* (Rodentia: Cricetidae) and systematic implications for Cricetinae phylogenetics. Biochem. Syst. Ecol. 69: 226-235. doi:10.1016/j.bse.2016.10.010.
- Drummond, A.J., Rambaut, A. 2007. BEAST: Bayesian evolutionary analysis by sampling trees. BMC Evol. Biol. 7(1): 214. doi:10.1186/1471-2148-7-214
- Ellerman, J.R., Morrison-Scott, T.C.S., 1951. Checklist of Palaearctic and Indian mammals 1758 to 1946. British Museum (Natural History), London.
- Fabre, P.H., Pagès, M., Musser, G.G., Fitriana, Y.S., Fjeldså, J., Jennings, A., Jönsson, K.A., Kennedy, J., Michaux, J., Semiadi, G., Supriatna, N., Helgen, K.M. 2013. A new genus of rodent from Wallacea (Rodentia: Muridae: Murinae: Rattini), and its implication for biogeography and Indo-Pacific Rattini systematics. Zool. j. Linn. 169(2): 408-447. doi:10.1111/zoj.12061
- Fauquette, S., Guiot, J., Suc, J.P., 1998. A method for climatic reconstruction of the Mediterranean Pliocene using pollen data. Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology. 144(1-2): 183-201. doi:10.1016/s0031-0182(98)00083-2
- Fauquette, S., Clauzon, G., Suc, J.P., Zheng, Z., 1999. A new approach for palaeoaltitude estimates based on pollen records: example of the Mercantour Massif (southeastern France) at the earliest Pliocene. EARTH PLANET SC LETT. 170(1-2): 35-47. doi:10.1016/s0012-821x(99)00093-x

- Fernández-Silva, P., Enriquez, J.A., Montoya, J., 2003. Replication and transcription of mammalian mitochondrial DNA. *Exp. Physiol.* 88(1): 41-56. doi:10.1113/eph8802514.
- Filippucci, M.G., Macholán, M., Michaux, J.R., 2002. Genetic variation and evolution in the genus *Apodemus* (Muridae: Rodentia). *Biol. J. Linn.* 75(3): 395-419. doi:10.1111/j.1095-8312.2002.tb02080.x
- Ge, D., Feijó, A., Cheng, J., Lu, L., Liu, R., Abramov, A.V., Xia, L., Wen, Z., Zhang, W., Shi, L., Yang, Q. (2019). Evolutionary history of field mice (Murinae: *Apodemus*), with emphasis on morphological variation among species in China and description of a new species. *Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society*, 187(2): 518-534. doi:10.1093/zoolinnean/zlz032
- Guindon, S., Gascuel, O., 2003. A simple, fast, and accurate algorithm to estimate large phylogenies by maximum likelihood. *Syst. Biol.* 52(5): 696-704. doi:10.1080/10635150390235520.
- Hamming, R.W., 1950. Error detecting and error correcting codes. *Bell Syst. tech. j.* 29(2): 147-160. doi:10.1002/j.1538-7305.1950.tb00463.x.
- Hasegawa, M., Kishino, H., Yano, T.A., 1985. Dating of the human-ape splitting by a molecular clock of mitochondrial DNA. *J. Mol. Evol.* 22: 160-174. doi:10.1007/bf02101694
- Hoofer, S.R., Gaschak, S., Dunina-Barkovskaya, Y., Makluk, J., Meeks, H.N., Wickliffe, J. K., Baker, R.J., 2007. New information for systematics, taxonomy, and phylogeography of the rodent genus *Apodemus* (*Sylvaemus*) in Ukraine. *J. Mammal.* 88(2): 330-342. doi:10.1644/06-mamm-a-218r1.1
- IUCN., 2025. The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. Version 2025-1. Available from: <https://www.iucnredlist.org> [20.06.2025].
- Jeon, M.G., Kim, J.Y., Park, Y. C., 2016. Phylogenetic analysis of the complete mitochondrial genome of the Korean field mouse *Apodemus peninsulae* (Rodentia, Murinae) from China. *MITOCHONDRIAL DNA A.* 27(4): 2408-2409. doi:10.3109/19401736.2015.1030618
- Karmacharya, D., Manandhar, S., Shakya, J., Thapa, K., Bista, M., Sah, G. P., Waits, L. 2016. Incidental discovery of non-focal carnivore species during genetic study of Bengal tiger (*Panthera tigris tigris*) and snow leopard (*Panthera uncia*) in Nepal. *Asian J. Conserv. Biol.* 5(2): 81-88. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0193495
- Kim, H.R., Park, Y.C., 2011. The complete mitochondrial genome of the Korean field mouse *Apodemus peninsulae* (Rodentia, Murinae) from Korea. *Mitochondrial DNA.* 22(4): 97-98. doi:10.3109/19401736.2011.624610

- Kim, H.R., Park, Y.C., 2012. The complete mitochondrial genome of the striped field mouse, *Apodemus agrarius* (Rodentia, Murinae) from Korea. *Mitochondrial DNA*. 23(2): 145-147. doi:10.3109/19401736.2012.660931
- Kim, J.A., Jeon, H.S., Lee, S.M., Seomun, H., An, J., 2023. Complete Mitochondrial Genome and Phylogenetic Analysis for the Korean Field Mouse *Apodemus peninsulae* Found on Baengnyeong Island in South Korea. *PNIE*. 4(2): 69-71. doi:10.22920/PNIE.2023.4.2.69
- Krzywinski, M., Schein, J., Birol, I., Connors, J., Gascoyne, R., Horsman, D., Jones, S.J., Marra, M. A., 2009. Circos: an information aesthetic for comparative genomics. *Genome Res*. 19(9): 1639-1645. doi:10.1101/gr.092759.109.
- Liu, X., Wei, F., Li, M., Jiang, X., Feng, Z., Hu, J., 2004. Molecular phylogeny and taxonomy of wood mice (genus *Apodemus* Kaup, 1829) based on complete mtDNA cytochrome b sequences, with emphasis on Chinese species. *Mol. Phylogenetics Evol*. 33(1): 1-15. doi:10.1016/j.ympev.2004.05.011
- Maglakelidze, S., Bukhnikashvili, A., Sheklashvili, G., Natradze, I., Kandaurov, A., Mumladze, L., 2024. Exploring small mammal diversity in Georgia (Sakartvelo) through DNA barcoding. *Biologia*. 79(9): 2789-2803. doi:10.1007/s11756-024-01742-1
- Martin, Y., Gerlach, G., Schlötterer, C., Meyer, A., 2000. Molecular phylogeny of European muroid rodents based on complete cytochrome b sequences. *Mol. Phylogenetics Evol*. 16(1): 37-47. doi:10.1006/mpev.1999.0760
- Meng, G., Li, Y., Yang, C., Liu, S., 2019. MitoZ: a toolkit for animal mitochondrial genome assembly, annotation and visualization. *Nucleic Acids Res*. 47(11): e63-e63. doi:10.1093/nar/gkz173.
- Mezhzherin, S.V., 1997. Revision of mice genus *Apodemus* (Rodentia, Muridae) of northern Eurasia. *Vestn. Zool*. 31(4): 29-41.
- Mezhzherin, S., Tereshchenko, V., 2023. Taxonomic Hierarchy and Evolutionary Scenario of the Genus Group *Apodemus sl* (Muridae) of the Palearctic based of Genetic Differentiation in the cyt-b Gene. *Zoodiversity*. 57(1). doi:10.15407/zoo2023.01.001
- Michaux, J.R., Chevret, P., Filippucci, M.G., Macholan, M., 2002. Phylogeny of the genus *Apodemus* with a special emphasis on the subgenus *Sylvaemus* using the nuclear IRBP gene and two mitochondrial markers: cytochrome b and 12S rRNA. *Mol. Phylogenetics Evol*. 23(2): 123-136. doi:10.1016/s1055-7903(02)00007-6
- Michaux, J.R., Magnanou, E., Paradis, E., Nieberding, C., Libois, R., 2003. Mitochondrial phylogeography of the woodmouse (*Apodemus sylvaticus*) in the Western Palearctic region. *Mol. Ecol*. 12(3): 685-697. doi:10.1046/j.1365-294x.2003.01752.x

- Mitchell-Jones, A.J., Amori, G., Bogdanowicz, W., Kryštufek, B., Reijnders, P.J.H., Spitzenberger, F., Stubbe, M., Thissen, J.B.M., Vohralík, V. Zima, J., 1999. The atlas of European mammals (Vol. 3). T. & A.D. Poyser, London.
- Mohammadi, Z., Ghorbani, F., Kami, H. G., Khajeh, A., Olsson, U., 2020. Molecular phylogeny of the subgenus *Karstomys* reveals genetic signature of post-glacial colonization of *Apodemus mystacinus* (Rodentia: Muridae) in the Zagros Mountains from different refugia. Zool. Sci. 38(1): 72-81. doi:10.2108/zs200065
- Musser, G.G., Carleton, M.D., 2005. Superfamily Muroidea. In: Wilson, D.E., Reeder, D.M. (Eds.), Mammal species of the world. The Johns Hopkins University Press, Baltimore. 894-1531.
- Musser, G.G., Brothers, E.M., Carleton, M.D., Hutterer, R., 1996. Taxonomy and distributional records of Oriental and European *Apodemus*, with a review of the *Apodemus*-*Sylvaemus* problem. Bonn. Zool. Beitr. 46:143-190.
- Nabholz, B., Glémin, S., Galtier, N., 2009. The erratic mitochondrial clock: variations of mutation rate, not population size, affect mtDNA diversity across birds and mammals. BMC Evol. Biol. 9(1): 1-13. doi:10.1186/1471-2148-9-54.
- Nicolas, V., Fabre, P. H., Bryja, J., Denys, C., Verheyen, E., Missoup, A. D., Olayemi, D., Katuala, P., Dudu, A., Colyn, M., Peterhans, J.K., Demos, T., 2020. The phylogeny of the African wood mice Muridae, Hylomyscus) based on complete mitochondrial genomes and five nuclear genes reveals their evolutionary history and undescribed diversity. Mol. Phylogenetics Evol. 144: 106703. doi:10.1016/j.ympev.2019.106703
- Oh, D.J., Kim, T.W., Chang, M.H., Han, S.H., Oh, H.S., Kim, S.J., 2011. The mitochondrial genome of *Apodemus peninsulae* (Rodentia, Muridae). Mitochondrial DNA. 22(4): 99-101. doi:10.3109/19401736.2011.624612
- Panculescu-Gatej, R.I., Sirbu, A., Dinu, S., Waldstrom, M., Heyman, P., Murariu, D., Petrescu, A., Szmal, C., Oprisan, G., Lundvisk, Å., Ceianu, C.S., 2014. Dobrava virus carried by the yellow-necked field mouse *Apodemus flavicollis*, causing hemorrhagic fever with renal syndrome in Romania. Vector Borne Zoonotic Dis. 14(5): 358-364. doi:10.1089/vbz.2013.140
- Pavlinov, I.Y., Lissovsky, A.E., 2012. The mammals of Russia: a taxonomic and geographic reference. KMK Sci Press: Moscow.
- Rietschel, S., Storch, G., 1973. Außge wohlicher haltene Waldmause (*Apodemus atavus* Heller, 1936) aus dem ober Pliozan von Willerhausen am Harz. Senkenberg Lethaea. 54: 49.
- Ronquist, F., Teslenko, M., Van Der Mark, P., Ayres, D.L., Darling, A., Höhna, S., Larget, B., Liu, L., Suchard, M.A., Huelsenbeck, J.P., 2012. MrBayes 3.2: efficient Bayesian

- phylogenetic inference and model choice across a large model space. Syst. Biol. 61(3): 539-542. doi:10.1093/sysbio/sys029.
- Rozas, J., Ferrer-Mata, A., Sánchez-DelBarrio, J.C., Guirao-Rico, S., Librado, P., Ramos-Onsins, S. E., Sánchez-Gracia, A., 2017. DnaSP 6: DNA sequence polymorphism analysis of large data sets. Mol Biol Evol. 34(12): 3299-3302. doi:10.1093/molbev/msx248.
- Ruddiman, W.F., Raymo, M.E., 1988. Northern Hemisphere climate regimes during the past 3 Ma: possible tectonic connections. Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci. 318(1191): 411-430. doi:10.1098/rstb.1988.0017
- Ruedi, M., Manzinalli, J., Dietrich, A., Vinciguerra, L., 2023. Shortcomings of DNA barcodes: a perspective from the mammal fauna of Switzerland. HYSTRIX. 34(1): 54-61. doi:10.4404/hystrix-006282023
- Santos, T., Fonseca, C., Barros, T., Godinho, R., Bastos-Silveira, C., Bandeira, V., Rocha, R.G. 2015. Using stomach contents for diet analysis of carnivores through DNA barcoding. Wildlife Biology in Practice. 11(1): 47-55. doi:10.2461/wbp.2015.11.4
- Schäffer, S., Zachos, F.E., Koblmüller, S., 2017. Opening the treasure chest: a DNA-barcoding primer set for most higher taxa of Central European birds and mammals from museum collections. PLoS One. 12(3): e0174449. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0174449
- Serizawa, K., Suzuki, H., Tsuchiya, K., 2000. A phylogenetic view on species radiation in *Apodemus* inferred from variation of nuclear and mitochondrial genes. Biochem. Genet. 38: 27-40. doi:10.1023/a:1001828203201
- Serizawa, K., Suzuki, H., Iwasa, M.A., Tsuchiya, K., Pavlenko, M.V., Kartavtseva, I.V., Chelomina, G.N., Dokuchaev, N.E., Han, S. H. 2002. A spatial aspect on mitochondrial DNA genealogy in *Apodemus peninsulae* from East Asia. Biochem. Genet. 40: 149-161. doi:10.1023/A:1015841424598
- Suzuki, H., Sato, J.J., Tsuchiya, K., Luo, J., Zhang, Y. P., Wang, Y.X., Jiang, X.L., 2003. Molecular phylogeny of wood mice (*Apodemus*, Muridae) in East Asia. Biol. J. Linn. 80(3): 469-481. doi:10.1046/j.1095-8312.2003.00253.x
- Suzuki, H., Filippucci, M.G., Chelomina, G.N., Sato, J.J., Serizawa, K., Nevo, E., 2008. A biogeographic view of *Apodemus* in Asia and Europe inferred from nuclear and mitochondrial gene sequences. Biochem. Genet. 46: 329-346. doi:10.1007/s10528-008-9149-7
- Steppan, S.J., Schenk, J.J., 2017. Muroid rodent phylogenetics: 900-species tree reveals increasing diversification rates. PloS one. 12(8): e0183070. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0183070

- Storch G., 1975. Eine Mittelpleistozane Nager-Fauna von der Insel Chios, Agais (Mammalia, Rodentia). *Senckenbergiantr Biologica* 56: 165-189.
- Suzuki, H., Shimada, T., Terashima, M., Tsuchiya, K., Aplin, K. 2004. Temporal, spatial, and ecological modes of evolution of Eurasian *Mus* based on mitochondrial and nuclear gene sequences. *Mol Phylogenet Evol.* 33(3): 626-646. doi: 10.1016/j.ympev.2004.08.003
- Tamura, K., Stecher, G., Kumar, S., 2021. MEGA11: molecular evolutionary genetics analysis version 11. *Mol Biol Evol.* 38(7): 3022-3027. doi:10.1093/molbev/msab120.t.
- Terashima, M., Furusawa, S., Hanzawa, N., Tsuchiya, K., Suyanto, A., Moriwaki, K., Yonekawa, H., Suzuki, H. 2006. Phylogeographic origin of Hokkaido house mice (*Mus musculus*) as indicated by genetic markers with maternal, paternal and biparental inheritance. *Heredity.* 96(2): 128-138. doi:10.1038/sj.hdy.6800761
- Triant, D.A., DeWoody, J.A., 2006. Accelerated molecular evolution in *Microtus* (Rodentia) as assessed via complete mitochondrial genome sequences. *Genetica.* 128: 95-108. doi:10.1007/s10709-005-5538-6.
- Wang, Y., 1985. Subspecific classification and distribution of *Apodemus agrarius* in Sichuan, China. *ontemporary Mammalogy in China and Japan, Mamm. Soc. Japan.* 86-89.
- Wang, Y.X., 2003. A Complete Checklist of Mammal Species and Subspecies in China: a Taxonomic and Geographic Reference. China Forestry Publishing House, Beijing. 193-197.
- Wolstenholme, D.R., 1992. Animal mitochondrial DNA: structure and evolution. *Int. Rev. Cytol.* 141: 173-216. doi:10.1016/s0074-7696(08)62066-5.
- Wu, D., Zhou, L., Xue, J., Xia, Q., Meng, L., 2022. Characterization of two new *Apodemus* mitogenomes (Rodentia: Muridae) and mitochondrial phylogeny of Muridae. *Diversity.* 14(12): 1089. doi:10.3390/d14121089
- Xia, W., 1984. A study on Chinese *Apodemus* with a discussion of its relations to Japanese species. *Acta Theriol Sin.* 4: 93-98.
- Yakovchuk, P., Protozanova, E., Frank-Kamenetskii, M.D., 2006. Base-stacking and base-pairing contributions into thermal stability of the DNA double helix. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 34(2): 564-574. doi:10.1093/nar/gkj454.
- Yalkovskaya, L., Sibiryakov, P., Borodin, A., 2022. Phylogeography of the striped field mouse (*Apodemus agrarius* Pallas, 1771) in light of new data from central part of Northern Eurasia. *PLoS One.* 17(10): e0276466. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0276466
- Yu, Z. M., Chen, J. T., Qin, J., Guo, J. J., Li, K., Xu, Q. Y., Wang, W., Lu, M., Qin, X.C., Zhang, Y.Z., 2020. Identification and characterization of Jingmen tick virus in rodents from Xinjiang, China. *Infect Genet Evol.* 84: 104411. doi:10.1016/j.meegid.2020.104411

- Yue, H., Fan, Z., Liu, S., Liu, Y., Song, Z., Zhang, X., 2012. A mitogenome of the Chevrier's field mouse (*Apodemus chevrieri*) and genetic variations inferred from the cytochrome b gene. DNA Cell Biol. 31(4): 460-469. doi:10.1089/dna.2011.1301
- Yue, H., Liu, S., Liu, Y., Zhang, X., Fan, Z., 2016. Mitochondrial genome of the Sichuan field mouse (*Apodemus latronum*). MITOCHONDRIAL DNA A. 27(2): 1088-1089. doi:10.3109/19401736.2014.930835

Tab. 1 – Genbank and BoldSystems(*) Accession Numbers of the sequences analysed in this study.

Gene	Species	Accession numbers	References
Mitogenome	<i>A. mystacinus</i>	PV802390	This study
	<i>A. ponticus</i>	PV802391	This study
	<i>A. uralensis</i>	PV802392	This study
	<i>A. witherbyi</i>	PV802393	This study
	<i>A. flavicollis</i>	MN122902	Margaryan, direct submission
	<i>A. sylvaticus</i>	MN964126	Nicholas et al., 2020
		MT410952	Leerhoei, direct submission
	<i>A. agrarius</i>	HM034866	Oh et al., unpublished data
		KY851953-KY851961	Andersen et al., 2017
		MN122887	Margaryan, direct submission
		NC016428	Kim and Park, direct submission
	<i>A. chejuensis</i>	HM034867	Oh et al., unpublished data
	<i>A. chevrieri</i>	HQ896683	Yue et al., 2012
	<i>A. peninsulae</i>	HQ660074	Oh et al., 2011
		JN546584, OL978460	Kim and Park, 2011
CYTB		KP671850	Jeon et al., 2016
	<i>A. latronum</i>	HQ333256	Fan et al., unpublished data
	<i>A. draco</i>	HQ333255	Fan et al., unpublished data
		KP694301	Chen, direct submission
	<i>M. musculus</i>	OM948981	Sendon et al., unpublished data
	<i>A. rufinus</i>	NC_053802	Mikula et al., direct submission
	<i>A. mystacinus</i>	KY753943	Steppan and Schenk, 2017
		MW287352, MW287353	Mohammadi et al., 2020
		OR573581-OR573585	Afzali and López-Antoñanzas, 2024
	<i>A. ponticus</i>	DQ844687-DQ844692	Balakirev et al., 2007
		FN433629-FN433634	Chelomina and Atopkin, 2010.
		KR003103-KR003105	Darvish et al., 2015
	<i>A. uralensis</i>	AB096837	Suzuki et al., 2003
		AJ311154, AJ311155	Michaux et al., 2002
		AY389021	Liu et al., 2004
		MN454323-MN454325	Yu et al., 2020
		OR573605-OR573607	Afzali and López-Antoñanzas, 2024
	<i>A. witherbyi</i>	KR003093-KR003098,	Darvish et al., 2015
		KR003100-KR003102	
		KY753945	Steppan and Schenk, 2017
	<i>A. flavicollis</i>	AJ311150, AJ311151,	Michaux et al., 2002
		AJ605627, AJ605653,	
		AJ605670, AJ605672-	
		AJ605679, AJ605681,	
		AJ605682, AJ605684,	
		AJ605686-AJ605690	

655	<i>A. sylvaticus</i>	AJ511967-AJ511972	Michaux et al., 2003
656	<i>A. alpicola</i>	AJ311152, AJ311153	Michaux et al., 2002
657		AB032854	Serizawa et al., 2000
658		AF159391	Martin et al., 2000
659	<i>A. agrarius</i>	AB096817	Suzuki et al., 2003
660		AB303225, AB303226	Suzuki et al., 2008
661		OM970159, OM970162,	Yalkovskaya et al., 2022
662		OM970163, OM970165	
663	<i>A. chejuensis</i>	HM034937-HM034940	Oh et al., unpublished data
664	<i>A. chevrieri</i>	HQ896706-HQ896709	Yue et al., 2012
665	<i>A. gorkha</i>	AB032852	Serizawa et al., 2000
666		KU214576	Karmacharya et al., 2016
667	<i>A. peninsulæ</i>	AB073808-AB073811	Serizawa et al., 2002
668	<i>A. latronum</i>	GU982929-GU982932	Fan et al., unpublished data
669	<i>A. draco</i>	AB096825	Suzuki et al., 2003
670		KU239927-KU239929	Cheng, unpublished data
671	<i>M. musculus</i>	AB205275	Terashima et al., 2006
672	<i>M. musculus</i>	AB125774	Suzuki et al., 2004
673	COI <i>A. mystacinus</i>	SMGEO184, SMGEO185,	Maglakelidze et al., 2024
674		SMGEO187-SMGEO189*	
675	<i>A. ponticus</i>	ABMEE039-ABMEE042	Bogdanov, unpublished data
676		ABMEE128, ABMEE132,	
677		ABMEE139, ABMEE142,	
678		ABMEE144, ABMEE145,	
679		ABMEE150-ABMEE152,	
680		ABMEE153-ABMEE158,	
681		ABMEE160, ABMEE168*	
682		SKMZM931, SKMZM943-	Bogdanov, unpublished data
683		SKMZM952, SKMZM968,	
684		SKMZM969, SKMZM971,	
685		SKMZM973, SKMZM974*	
686		SMGEO284, SMGEO311-	Maglakelidze et al., 2024
687		SMGEO313, SMGEO318,	
688		SMGEO320-SMGEO322*	
689	<i>A. uralensis</i>	ABMEE032*	Bogdanov, unpublished data
690		JF499304, JF499305	Lissovsky, unpublished data
691		MK329620	Ge et al., 2019
692	<i>A. witherbyi</i>	ABMEE033, ABMEE035-	Bogdanov, unpublished data
693		ABMEE037*	
694		SMGEO065*	Maglakelidze et al., 2024
695	<i>A. flavicollis</i>	JQ935786, JQ935787	Panculescu-Gatej et al., 2014
696		KP869134, KP869151,	Santos et al., 2015
697		KP869161, KP869163	
698		KY754481	Schäffer et al., 2017
699		MZ661170	Wyler, unpublished data
700		MZ895174	Deng et al., direct submission
701		OQ706733-OQ706734	Ruedi et al., 2023
702	<i>A. sylvaticus</i>	MW478031	Mondino, unpublished data
703		MZ661163	Wyler, unpublished data

<i>A. alpicola</i>	OQ706727- OQ706729	Ruedi et al., 2023
	MZ661164	Wyler, unpublished data
<i>A. agrarius</i>	BKMAM005, BKMAM013*	Chassovnikarova, direct submission
	CICA012*	Secansky, direct submission
	FBMAM093*	Feulner, direct submission
	JQ043486	Ma and Lu, direct submission
	JQ935784	Panculescu-Gatej et al., 2014
	MZ389785	Gu, direct submission
	SKMZM096, SKMZM301,	Bogdanov, unpublished data
	SKMZM328, SKMZM329,	
	SKMZM345 *	
<i>A. chejuensis</i>	MN756660	Kim, unpublished data
	OL639150-OL639152	Kim, unpublished data
<i>A. chevrieri</i>	MK329682, MK329683,	Ge et al., 2019
	MK329686, MK329688	
<i>A. peninsulæ</i>	MK329561, MK329607,	Ge et al., 2019
	MK329610	
	MK329669	
<i>A. latronum</i>	MK329665, MK329676-	Ge et al., 2019
	MK329678	
<i>A. draco</i>	KU239941-KU239944	Cheng, unpublished data
<i>M. musculus</i>	MN228580	Bogdanov et al., 2020
<i>M. musculus</i>	MN228589	Bogdanov et al., 2020

Tab. 2 – Calculated mean genetic distance (d) values (below the diagonal) between species with the standard errors (above the diagonal) employing mitogenome data*.

	FLA	PON	URA	WIT	SYL	MYS	PEN	AGR	CHEJ	CHEV	LAT	DRA
FLA		0.0018	0.0023	0.0023	0.0023	0.0028	0.0028	0.0028	0.0028	0.0029	0.0028	0.0028
PON	0.0544		0.0023	0.0023	0.0023	0.0027	0.0028	0.0028	0.0029	0.0029	0.0028	0.0028
URA	0.0878	0.0879		0.0024	0.0024	0.0028	0.0029	0.0028	0.0029	0.0029	0.0028	0.0028
WIT	0.0959	0.0961	0.1004		0.0023	0.0027	0.0027	0.0027	0.0028	0.0028	0.0028	0.0027
SYL	0.0942	0.0956	0.0996	0.0973		0.0027	0.0028	0.0028	0.0028	0.0028	0.0028	0.0028
MYS	0.1427	0.1420	0.1408	0.1380	0.1396		0.0028	0.0028	0.0028	0.0028	0.0028	0.0028
PEN	0.1514	0.1530	0.1532	0.1519	0.1492	0.1506		0.0026	0.0026	0.0026	0.0025	0.0025
AGR	0.1535	0.1545	0.1534	0.1510	0.1503	0.1505	0.1297		0.0009	0.0018	0.0026	0.0026
CHEJ	0.1533	0.1547	0.1542	0.1509	0.1508	0.1525	0.1303	0.0149		0.0018	0.0027	0.0026
CHEV	0.1540	0.1552	0.1546	0.1541	0.1522	0.1508	0.1308	0.0563	0.0573		0.0026	0.0026
LAT	0.1520	0.1528	0.1533	0.1510	0.1517	0.1511	0.1325	0.1347	0.1353	0.1350		0.0023
DRA	0.1550	0.1534	0.1543	0.1547	0.1555	0.1518	0.1355	0.1370	0.1368	0.1354	0.1075	

*: FLA: *A. flavicollis*, PON: *A. ponticus*, URA: *A. uralensis*, WIT: *A. witherbyi*, SYL: *A. sylvaticus*, MYS: *A. mystacinus*, PEN: *A. peninsulae*, AGR: *A. agrarius*, CHEJ: *A. chejuensis*, CHEV: *A. chevrieri*, LAT: *A. latronum*, DRA: *A. draco*

Tab. 3 – Calculated mean genetic distance (d) values (below the diagonal) between subgenera with the standard errors (above the diagonal) employing mitogenome data.

	<i>Sylvaemus</i>	<i>Karstomys</i>	<i>Apodemus</i>	<i>Alsoyms</i>	<i>M. musculus</i>
<i>Sylvaemus</i>		0.002	0.002	0.002	0.003
<i>Karstomys</i>	0.140		0.003	0.003	0.003
<i>Apodemus</i>	0.152	0.151		0.002	0.003
<i>Alsoyms</i>	0.154	0.151	0.136		0.003
<i>M. musculus</i>	0.171	0.169	0.167	0.167	

Tab. S1 – Calculated mean genetic distance (d) values (below the diagonal) between species with the standard errors (above the diagonal) employing CYTB data.

	FLA	PON	URA	WIT	SYL	ALP	MYS	PEN	GUR	AGR	CHEJ	CHEV	LAT	DRA
FLA		0,0054	0,0118	0,0160	0,0138	0,0133	0,0174	0,0159	0,0188	0,0180	0,0181	0,0173	0,0182	0,0171
PON	0,0268		0,0131	0,0166	0,0147	0,0150	0,0180	0,0160	0,0194	0,0188	0,0189	0,0185	0,0187	0,0174
URA	0,0871	0,0894		0,0151	0,0147	0,0129	0,0163	0,0159	0,0176	0,0168	0,0167	0,0175	0,0174	0,0166
WIT	0,1152	0,1088	0,1113		0,0165	0,0150	0,0187	0,0188	0,0181	0,0177	0,0177	0,0185	0,0182	0,0172
SYL	0,0976	0,0982	0,1123	0,1195		0,0162	0,0186	0,0170	0,0188	0,0179	0,0180	0,0177	0,0199	0,0179
ALP	0,0810	0,0838	0,0851	0,0886	0,1085		0,0176	0,0187	0,0180	0,0176	0,0177	0,0175	0,0182	0,0171
MYS	0,1350	0,1339	0,1258	0,1436	0,1530	0,1230		0,0190	0,0174	0,0182	0,0183	0,0195	0,0172	0,0172
PEN	0,1204	0,1124	0,1266	0,1556	0,1266	0,1347	0,1519		0,0188	0,0179	0,0180	0,0173	0,0184	0,0153
GUR	0,1601	0,1655	0,1534	0,1461	0,1619	0,1340	0,1369	0,1563		0,0183	0,0184	0,0184	0,0192	0,0180
AGR	0,1491	0,1519	0,1356	0,1325	0,1392	0,1206	0,1467	0,1345	0,1486		0,0051	0,0145	0,0193	0,0173
CHEJ	0,1479	0,1503	0,1340	0,1311	0,1386	0,1203	0,1439	0,1362	0,1470	0,0173		0,0149	0,0191	0,0175
CHEV	0,1412	0,1473	0,1433	0,1489	0,1359	0,1297	0,1629	0,1354	0,1506	0,0808	0,0857		0,0193	0,0172
LAT	0,1563	0,1506	0,1511	0,1470	0,1823	0,1434	0,1271	0,1416	0,1614	0,1647	0,1599	0,1707		0,0141
DRA	0,1534	0,1507	0,1429	0,1506	0,1635	0,1427	0,1392	0,1182	0,1542	0,1518	0,1509	0,1511	0,1066	

*: FLA: *A. flavicollis*, PON: *A. ponticus*, URA: *A. uralensis*, WIT: *A. witherbyi*, SYL: *A. sylvaticus*, ALP: *A. alpicola*, MYS: *A. mystacinus*, PEN: *A. peninsulae*, GUR: *A. gurkha*, AGR: *A. agrarius*, CHEJ: *A. chejuensis*, CHEV: *A. chevrieri*, LAT: *A. latronum*, DRA: *A. draco*

Tab. S2 – Calculated mean genetic distance (d) values (below the diagonal) between species with the standard errors (above the diagonal) employing COI data.

	FLA	PON	URA	WIT	SYL	ALP	MYS	PEN	AGR	CHEJ	CHEV	LAT	DRA
FLA		0,0101	0,0106	0,0122	0,0141	0,0103	0,0147	0,0150	0,0162	0,0162	0,0164	0,0162	0,0167
PON	0,0586		0,0117	0,0124	0,0133	0,0107	0,0152	0,0155	0,0164	0,0164	0,0163	0,0159	0,0163
URA	0,0788	0,0922		0,0114	0,0128	0,0109	0,0135	0,0146	0,0163	0,0164	0,0165	0,0153	0,0156
WIT	0,0804	0,0895	0,0840		0,0129	0,0130	0,0130	0,0149	0,0155	0,0155	0,0159	0,0152	0,0159
SYL	0,1155	0,1030	0,1069	0,0901		0,0126	0,0151	0,0152	0,0166	0,0168	0,0168	0,0163	0,0163
ALP	0,0626	0,0635	0,0759	0,0904	0,0851		0,0150	0,0156	0,0166	0,0161	0,0162	0,0163	0,0164
MYS	0,1186	0,1325	0,1202	0,0976	0,1318	0,1246		0,0154	0,0151	0,0154	0,0164	0,0151	0,0151
PEN	0,1322	0,1370	0,1401	0,1317	0,1481	0,1460	0,1419		0,0143	0,0149	0,0142	0,0154	0,0149
AGR	0,1528	0,1661	0,1618	0,1378	0,1640	0,1605	0,1389	0,1360		0,0054	0,0100	0,0144	0,0152
CHEJ	0,1502	0,1655	0,1622	0,1365	0,1684	0,1574	0,1393	0,1352	0,0201		0,0105	0,0145	0,0154
CHEV	0,1528	0,1560	0,1530	0,1404	0,1679	0,1492	0,1583	0,1265	0,0649	0,0640		0,0150	0,0155
LAT	0,1463	0,1563	0,1580	0,1295	0,1537	0,1581	0,1317	0,1460	0,1332	0,1358	0,1342		0,0133
DRA	0,1593	0,1570	0,1563	0,1475	0,1600	0,1595	0,1265	0,1388	0,1358	0,1347	0,1368	0,1137	

*: FLA: *A. flavicollis*, PON: *A. ponticus*, URA: *A. uralensis*, WIT: *A. witherbyi*, SYL: *A. sylvaticus*, ALP: *A. alpicola*, MYS: *A. mystacinus*, PEN: *A. peninsulae*, AGR: *A. agrarius*, CHEJ: *A. chejuensis*, CHEV: *A. chevrieri*, LAT: *A. latronum*, DRA: *A. draco*

Tab. S3 – Calculated mean genetic distance (d) values (below the diagonal) between subgenera with the standard errors (above the diagonal) employing CYTB data.

	<i>Sylvaemus</i>	<i>Karstoysms</i>	<i>Apodemus</i>	<i>Alsoyms</i>	<i>M. musculus</i>
<i>Sylvaemus</i>		0.016	0.015	0.015	0.016
<i>Karstoysms</i>	0.136		0.016	0.015	0.018
<i>Apodemus</i>	0.140	0.151		0.015	0.017
<i>Alsoyms</i>	0.153	0.133	0.152		0.016
<i>M. musculus</i>	0.141	0.130	0.150	0.150	

Tab. S4 – Calculated mean genetic distance (d) values (below the diagonal) between subgenera with the standard errors (above the diagonal) employing COI data.

	<i>Sylvaemus</i>	<i>Karstoymys</i>	<i>Apodemus</i>	<i>Alsoymys</i>	<i>M. musculus</i>
<i>Sylvaemus</i>		0.013	0.014	0.013	0.014
<i>Karstoymys</i>	0.125		0.014	0.013	0.016
<i>Apodemus</i>	0.156	0.142		0.012	0.015
<i>Alsoymys</i>	0.154	0.129	0.136		0.014
<i>M. musculus</i>	0.144	0.148	0.145	0.142	

FIGURE LEGENDS

Fig. 1. Bayesian Inference (BI) tree derived from the mitogenome data. Posterior probability (pp) values are given with the numbers on the nodes, and divergence times and 95% HPD interval values are provided on the branches

Fig. 2. Distribution areas of the studied *Sylvaemus* group species retrieved from IUCN (2025) data

Fig. 3. Distribution areas of the studied *Karstomys* group species retrieved from IUCN (2025) data

Fig. 4. Distribution areas of the studied *Apodemus* group species retrieved from IUCN (2025) data and www.inaturalist.org

Fig. 5. Distribution areas of the studied *Alsomys* group species retrieved from IUCN (2025) data (Some authors have placed *A. gurkha* within *Apodemus*, but the most recent article (Mezhzherin and Tereshchenko 2023) considered it as *Alsomys gurkha*)

Fig. S1. Mitogenome characteristics of *A. mystacinus*

Fig. S2. Mitogenome characteristics of *A. uralensis*

Fig. S3. Mitogenome characteristics of *A. witherbyi*

Fig. S4. Mitogenome characteristics of *A. ponticus*

Fig. S5. Bayesian Inference (BI) tree derived from CYTB data. Posterior probability (pp) values are given with the numbers on the nodes

Fig. S6. Bayesian Inference (BI) tree derived from COI data. Posterior probability (pp) values are given with the numbers on the nodes

Tab. 1 – Genbank and BoldSystems(*) Accession Numbers of the sequences analysed in this study.

Gene	Species	Accession numbers	References
Mitogenome	<i>A. mystacinus</i>	PV802390	This study
	<i>A. ponticus</i>	PV802391	This study
	<i>A. uralensis</i>	PV802392	This study
	<i>A. witherbyi</i>	PV802393	This study
	<i>A. flavicollis</i>	MN122902	Margaryan, direct submission
	<i>A. sylvaticus</i>	MN964126	Nicholas et al., 2020
		MT410952	Leerhoei, direct submission
	<i>A. agrarius</i>	HM034866	Oh et al., unpublished data
		KY851953-KY851961	Andersen et al., 2017
		MN122887	Margaryan, direct submission
		NC016428	Kim and Park, direct submission
	<i>A. chejuensis</i>	HM034867	Oh et al., unpublished data
	<i>A. chevrieri</i>	HQ896683	Yue et al., 2012
	<i>A. peninsulae</i>	HQ660074	Oh et al., 2011
		JN546584, OL978460	Kim and Park, 2011
		KP671850	Jeon et al., 2016
	<i>A. latronum</i>	HQ333256	Fan et al., unpublished data
	<i>A. draco</i>	HQ333255	Fan et al., unpublished data
		KP694301	Chen, direct submission
	<i>M. musculus musculus</i>	OR840816	Piálek et al., 2025
	<i>M. musculus domesticus</i>	OR840775	Piálek et al., 2025
CYTB	<i>A. mystacinus</i>	KY753943	Steppan and Schenk, 2017
		MW287352, MW287353	Mohammadi et al., 2020
		OR573581-OR573585	Afzali and López-Antoñanzas, 2024
	<i>A. ponticus</i>	DQ844687-DQ844692	Balakirev et al., 2007
		FN433629-FN433634	Chelomina and Atopkin, 2010.
		KR003103-KR003105	Darvish et al., 2015
	<i>A. uralensis</i>	AB096837	Suzuki et al., 2003
		AJ311154, AJ311155	Michaux et al., 2002
		AY389021	Liu et al., 2004
		MN454323-MN454325	Yu et al., 2020
		OR573605-OR573607	Afzali and López-Antoñanzas, 2024
	<i>A. witherbyi</i>	KR003093-KR003098, KR003100-KR003102	Darvish et al., 2015
		KY753945	Steppan and Schenk, 2017
	<i>A. flavicollis</i>	AJ311150, AJ311151, AJ605627, AJ605653, AJ605670, AJ605672- AJ605679, AJ605681, AJ605682, AJ605684, AJ605686-AJ605690	Michaux et al., 2002
	<i>A. sylvaticus</i>	AJ511967-AJ511972	Michaux et al., 2003
	<i>A. alpicola</i>	AJ311152, AJ311153	Michaux et al., 2002
		AB032854	Serizawa et al., 2000

	<i>A. agrarius</i>	AF159391 AB096817 AB303225, AB303226 OM970159, OM970162, OM970163, OM970165	Martin et al., 2000 Suzuki et al., 2003 Suzuki et al., 2008 Yalkovskaya et al., 2022
	<i>A. chejuensis</i>	HM034937-HM034940	Oh et al., unpublished data
	<i>A. chevrieri</i>	HQ896706-HQ896709	Yue et al., 2012
	<i>A. gorkha</i>	AB032852 KU214576	Serizawa et al., 2000 Karmacharya et al., 2016
	<i>A. peninsulæ</i>	AB073808-AB073811	Serizawa et al., 2002
	<i>A. latronum</i>	GU982929-GU982932	Fan et al., unpublished data
	<i>A. draco</i>	AB096825 KU239927-KU239929	Suzuki et al., 2003 Cheng, unpublished data
	<i>M. musculus musculus</i>	AB205275	Terashima et al., 2006
	<i>M. musculus domesticus</i>	AB125774	Suzuki et al., 2004
COI	<i>A. mystacinus</i>	SMGEO184, SMGEO185, SMGEO187-SMGEO189*	Maglakelidze et al., 2024
	<i>A. ponticus</i>	ABMEE039-ABMEE042 ABMEE128, ABMEE132, ABMEE139, ABMEE142, ABMEE144, ABMEE145, ABMEE150-ABMEE152, ABMEE153-ABMEE158, ABMEE160, ABMEE168* SKMZM931, SKMZM943- SKMZM952, SKMZM968, SKMZM969, SKMZM971, SKMZM973, SKMZM974* SMGEO284, SMGEO311- SMGEO313, SMGEO318, SMGEO320-SMGEO322*	Bogdanov, unpublished data Bogdanov, unpublished data
	<i>A. uralensis</i>	ABMEE032* JF499304, JF499305 MK329620	Maglakelidze et al., 2024 Bogdanov, unpublished data Lissofsky, unpublished data Ge et al., 2019
	<i>A. witherbyi</i>	ABMEE033, ABMEE035- ABMEE037* SMGEO065*	Bogdanov, unpublished data
	<i>A. flavicollis</i>	JQ935786, JQ935787 KP869134, KP869151, KP869161, KP869163 KY754481 MZ661170 MZ895174 OQ706733-OQ706734	Maglakelidze et al., 2024 Panculescu-Gatej et al., 2014 Santos et al., 2015 Schäffer et al., 2017 Wyler, unpublished data Deng et al., direct submission
	<i>A. sylvaticus</i>	MW478031 MZ661163	Ruedi et al., 2023 Mondino, unpublished data Wyler, unpublished data
	<i>A. alpicola</i>	OQ706727- OQ706729 MZ661164	Ruedi et al., 2023 Wyler, unpublished data

<i>A. agrarius</i>	BKMAM005, BKMAM013* CICA012* FBMAM093* JQ043486 JQ935784 MZ389785 SKMZM096, SKMZM301, SKMZM328, SKMZM329, SKMZM345 *	Chasovnikarova, direct submission Secansky, direct submission Feulner, direct submission Ma and Lu, direct submission Panculescu-Gatej et al., 2014 Gu, direct submission Bogdanov, unpublished data
<i>A. chejuensis</i>	MN756660 OL639150-OL639152	Kim, unpublished data Kim, unpublished data
<i>A. chevrieri</i>	MK329682, MK329683, MK329686, MK329688	Ge et al., 2019
<i>A. peninsulae</i>	MK329561, MK329607, MK329610 MK329669	Ge et al., 2019
<i>A. latronum</i>	MK329665, MK329676- MK329678	Ge et al., 2019
<i>A. draco</i>	KU239941-KU239944	Cheng, unpublished data
<i>M. musculus musculus</i>	MN228580	Bogdanov et al., 2020
<i>M. musculus domesticus</i>	MN228589	Bogdanov et al., 2020


Tab. 2 – Calculated mean genetic distance (d) values (below the diagonal) between species with the standard errors (above the diagonal) employing mitogenome data*.

	FLA	PON	URA	WIT	SYL	MYS	PEN	AGR	CHEJ	CHEV	LAT	DRA
FLA		0.0018	0.0023	0.0023	0.0023	0.0028	0.0028	0.0028	0.0028	0.0029	0.0028	0.0028
PON	0.0544		0.0023	0.0023	0.0023	0.0027	0.0028	0.0028	0.0029	0.0029	0.0028	0.0028
URA	0.0878	0.0879		0.0024	0.0024	0.0028	0.0029	0.0028	0.0029	0.0029	0.0028	0.0028
WIT	0.0959	0.0961	0.1004		0.0023	0.0027	0.0027	0.0027	0.0028	0.0028	0.0028	0.0027
SYL	0.0942	0.0956	0.0996	0.0973		0.0027	0.0028	0.0028	0.0028	0.0028	0.0028	0.0028
MYS	0.1427	0.1420	0.1408	0.1380	0.1396		0.0028	0.0028	0.0028	0.0028	0.0028	0.0028
PEN	0.1514	0.1530	0.1532	0.1519	0.1492	0.1506		0.0026	0.0026	0.0026	0.0025	0.0025
AGR	0.1535	0.1545	0.1534	0.1510	0.1503	0.1505	0.1297		0.0009	0.0018	0.0026	0.0026
CHEJ	0.1533	0.1547	0.1542	0.1509	0.1508	0.1525	0.1303	0.0149		0.0018	0.0027	0.0026
CHEV	0.1540	0.1552	0.1546	0.1541	0.1522	0.1508	0.1308	0.0563	0.0573		0.0026	0.0026
LAT	0.1520	0.1528	0.1533	0.1510	0.1517	0.1511	0.1325	0.1347	0.1353	0.1350		0.0023
DRA	0.1550	0.1534	0.1543	0.1547	0.1555	0.1518	0.1355	0.1370	0.1368	0.1354	0.1075	


*: FLA: *A. flavicollis*, PON: *A. ponticus*, URA: *A. uralensis*, WIT: *A. witherbyi*, SYL: *A. sylvaticus*, MYS: *A. mystacinus*, PEN: *A. peninsulae*, AGR: *A. agrarius*, CHEJ: *A. chejuensis*, CHEV: *A. chevrieri*, LAT: *A. latronum*, DRA: *A. draco*

Tab. 3 – Calculated mean genetic distance (d) values (below the diagonal) between subgenera with the standard errors (above the diagonal) employing mitogenome data.


	<i>Sylvaemus</i>	<i>Karstomys</i>	<i>Apodemus</i>	<i>Alsoyms</i>	<i>M. musculus</i>
<i>Sylvaemus</i>		0.002	0.002	0.002	0.003
<i>Karstomys</i>	0.140		0.003	0.003	0.003
<i>Apodemus</i>	0.152	0.151		0.002	0.003
<i>Alsoyms</i>	0.154	0.151	0.136		0.003
<i>M. musculus</i>	0.171	0.169	0.167	0.167	




Distribution areas of the studied *Sylvaemus* group species retrieved from IUCN (2025) data




Distribution areas of the studied Karstomys group species retrieved from IUCN (2025) data



Distribution areas of the studied Apodemus group species retrieved from IUCN (2025) data and www.inaturalist.org



Distribution areas of the studied *Alsomys* group species retrieved from IUCN (2025) data (Some authors have placed *A. gorkha* within *Apodemus*, but the most recent article (Mezhzherin and Tereshchenko 2023) considered it as *Alsomys gorkha*)



Bayesian Inference (BI) tree derived from the mitogenome data. Posterior probability (pp) values are given with the numbers on the nodes, and divergence times and 95% HPD interval values are provided on the branches

Manuscript body

[Download source file \(119.7 kB\)](#)

Tables

Table 1 - [Download source file \(17.75 kB\)](#)

Genbank and BoldSystems(*) Accession Numbers of the sequences analysed in this study.

Table 2 - [Download source file \(15.26 kB\)](#)

Calculated mean genetic distance (d) values (below the diagonal) between species with the standard errors (above the diagonal) employing mitogenome data*.

Table 3 - [Download source file \(12.63 kB\)](#)

Calculated mean genetic distance (d) values (below the diagonal) between subgenera with the standard errors (above the diagonal) employing mitogenome data.

Figures

Figure 1 - [Download source file \(1.5 MB\)](#)

Distribution areas of the studied Sylvaemus group species retrieved from IUCN (2025) data

Figure 2 - [Download source file \(765.89 kB\)](#)

Distribution areas of the studied Karstomys group species retrieved from IUCN (2025) data

Figure 3 - [Download source file \(1.33 MB\)](#)

Distribution areas of the studied Apodemus group species retrieved from IUCN (2025) data and www.inaturalist.org

Figure 4 - [Download source file \(728.19 kB\)](#)

Distribution areas of the studied Alsomys group species retrieved from IUCN (2025) data (Some authors have placed A. gurkha within Apodemus, but the most recent article (Mezhzherin and Tereshchenko 2023) considered it as Alsomys gurkha)

Figure 5 - [Download source file \(180.6 kB\)](#)

Bayesian Inference (BI) tree derived from the mitogenome data. Posterior probability (pp) values are given with the numbers on the nodes, and divergence times and 95% HPD interval values are provided on the branches

Supplementary Online Material

File 1 - [Download source file \(14.66 kB\)](#)

Calculated mean genetic distance (d) values (below the diagonal) between species with the standard errors (above the diagonal) employing CYTB data.

File 2 - [Download source file \(14.34 kB\)](#)

Calculated mean genetic distance (d) values (below the diagonal) between species with the standard errors (above the diagonal) employing COI data.

File 3 - [Download source file \(12.66 kB\)](#)

Calculated mean genetic distance (d) values (below the diagonal) between subgenera with the standard errors (above the diagonal) employing CYTB data.

File 4 - [Download source file \(12.64 kB\)](#)

Calculated mean genetic distance (d) values (below the diagonal) between subgenera with the standard errors (above the diagonal) employing COI data.

File 5 - [Download source file \(445.21 kB\)](#)

Mitogenome characteristics of A. mystacinus

File 6 - [Download source file \(438.95 kB\)](#)

Mitogenome characteristics of A. uralensis

File 7 - [Download source file \(437.77 kB\)](#)

Mitogenome characteristics of *A. witherbyi*

File 8 - [Download source file \(436.04 kB\)](#)

Mitogenome characteristics of *A. ponticus*

File 9 - [Download source file \(700.06 kB\)](#)

Bayesian Inference (BI) tree derived from CYTB data. Posterior probability (pp) values are given with the numbers on the nodes

File 10 - [Download source file \(782.66 kB\)](#)

Bayesian Inference (BI) tree derived from COI data. Posterior probability (pp) values are given with the numbers on the nodes