

Full pelage ultra-Violet fluorescence occurs in both lesser horseshoe bat, *Rhinolophus hipposideros* (André, 1797) and Blasius's horseshoe bat *R. blasii* Peters, 1967.

Henry Schofield¹, Vida Zrnčić Zrnčić², David Smith³, Ivana Budinski⁴, Daniel Hargreaves⁵, Christopher Damant⁶

¹Vincent Wildlife Trust

²Croatian Biospeleological Society, Rooseveltov trg 6, Zagreb, Croatia

³Hereford Mammal Group, 34 Worcester Road, Malvern WR14 4AA, U.K.

⁴Department of Genetic Research, Institute for Biological Research “Siniša Stanković” – National Institute of the Republic of Serbia, University of Belgrade, Bulevar Despota Stefana 142, 11108, Belgrade, Serbia

⁵Vincent Wildlife Trust, Eastnor, Ledbury, Herefordshire, HR8 2EP, U.K.

⁶Pell Frischman, Station Road, Swanbourne, Bucks, MK17 0SR, U.K.

A - Research concept and design, B - Collection and/or assembly of data, C - Data analysis and interpretation, D - Writing the article, E - Critical revision of the article, F - Final approval of the article

Abstract:

Ultra-Violet (UV) fluorescence has been observed and reported in a wide range of living organisms from lower plants to mammals. In animals, its function has been attributed to a range of behaviours including signalling in mate selection, camouflage, and mimicry, but in many cases its function is unclear, and it may be non-adaptive. Here we report on full pelage UV fluorescence in both *Rhinolophus hipposideros*, the lesser horseshoe bat and *R. blasii*, Blasius's horseshoe bat but it is restricted to just these two species within the European Rhinolophidae. The fluorescence in *R. hipposideros* was only observed in adult bats of both sexes and not in juveniles (at least until they were six months old). It is unlikely this phenomenon has any function in the ecology or behaviour of either the species, as rhinolophids lack the short wavelength opsins in their cones to detect light emitted at these wavelengths. It may be maladaptive, as some nocturnal predators may have the ability to detect the fluorescence. Potentially, the differing responses of adults and juveniles have uses in the monitoring of species, especially concerning confirming maternity colonies and estimates of the productivity of colonies. In the case of *R. blasii*, it may also aid in the identification of this cryptic species that often roosts with other medium-sized horseshoe bats.

Keywords: Chiroptera, *Rhinolophus hipposideros*, *Rhinolophus blasii*, UV-Fluorescence.

Received: 2024-09-13

Revised: 2025-03-04

Accepted: 2025-03-16

Final review: 2024-12-11

Short title

UV fluorescence in horseshoe bats

Corresponding author

Henry Schofield

Vincent Wildlife Trust; email: henryschofield57@gmail.com

Introduction

Fluorescence is the process where radiation at higher energy levels (shorter wavelengths) are absorbed by particular molecules, causing those molecules to emit light at a lower energy level (longer wavelengths). This process frequently involves ultra-violet (UV) light, often resulting in the generation of emissions in the visible spectrum; in natural systems this process is dependent on the UV component of sunlight. The spectral quality of UV light reaching the Earth's surface varies diurnally and seasonally, and with altitude and latitude. UV radiation is strongest in the tropics and at high altitudes, due to the thinness of the ozone layer and the reduced air mass between the Earth's surface and the edge of the atmosphere respectively. Although light is at its lowest intensity at night, moonlight still contains a proportion of UV radiation, and is relatively more abundant at twilight compared with daylight (Spitschan et al., 2016). All of these factors will impact on the degree of fluorescence over diurnal and seasonal cycles (Zhang et al., 2020).

UV fluorescence has been reported in biological substances as far back as the 19th century, with the initial observations being made in plants; in recent years it has been reported increasingly widely in a range of different taxa (Lagorio et al., 2015). UV fluorescence has been recorded in a range of mammals, it occurs in some marsupials (Pine et al., 1985; Travouillon et al., 2023), rodents (Nummert et al., 2023; Olson et al., 2021; Sobral and Souza-Gudinho, 2022), insectivores (Hamchand, 2021) and bats (Reinhold, 2022; Travouillon et al., 2023). The function of UV fluorescence in animals has been attributed to signalling around mate choice (Garcia and de Perera, 2002), a type of Batesian mimicry where prey species emit a similar fluorescence to their predators (Kohler et al., 2019), camouflage against vegetation or habitats that themselves fluoresce (Sparks et al., 2014) or it may simply be the by-product of biochemical processes and have no adaptive purpose (Marshall and Johnsen, 2017).

In July 2024, we opportunistically discovered whole pelage fluorescence in a colony of some 25 *Rhinolophus hipposideros*, lesser horseshoe bat, during fieldwork on Lokrum Island in southern Croatia. The fluorescence occurred under illumination with both 365nm or 395nm ultra-violet hand torches and was visible to the naked eye as a light blue glow coming from the fur of the bats, but not from their wing membranes (Figure 1).

This fluorescence was emitted by adult animals but not from the pups the females were carrying or from newly volant juveniles.

In this study we investigate whether this phenomenon was restricted geographically to *R. hipposideros* in the area around our study site in southern Croatia and whether full pelage fluorescence occurred more widely in the other European Rhinolophidae. We also discuss the potential function or non-function of this phenomenon and whether it has potential uses in monitoring or surveying for species.

Materials and Methods

To determine whether UV fluorescence was more widespread than just at our Croatian study site, seven colonies of *R. hipposideros* in Britain, nine in Croatia and two in Serbia were tested. To ascertain whether this phenomenon occurred in other European rhinolophids, we tested animals

41 from three maternity colonies of *R. ferrumequinum* in Britain, six in Croatia and two in Serbia. Three
42 colonies of *R. euryale* in Croatia and two in Serbia were visited. *R. blasii* was tested at one site in
43 both Croatia and Serbia, and *R. mehelyi* at one site in Serbia.

44 During surveys roosts were briefly entered during the day and the bats illuminated using either a
45 365nm Luxnovaq or 395nm Lightfe ultra-violet hand LED torch. A selection of fluorescing animals
46 were photographically documented in situ while roosting using a Nikon Z8 camera fitted with a 70-
47 200mm Nikkor telephoto lens (UV filter removed). The UV torch was held alongside the camera to
48 illuminate the bats, and the camera was set to an aperture of f2.8 and a shutter speed of 1/30s, the
49 ISO was varied between 1600 to 16000 depending on the distance to the subject.

50 A small number of bats in Croatia and Britain were caught and exposed to the UV torchlight to
51 document the response of the pelage more closely. These handheld bats were placed on a black
52 non-UV reflective background. They were illuminated from 1.5m with the 365nm Luxnovaq UV hand
53 torch and photographed using a 105mm Nikkor Macro lens with the Nikon Z8 camera set to an
54 aperture of f8 and shutter speed of 1/40s and ISO of 12800. These activities were carried out under
55 the appropriate licences in Croatia and UK.

56 Results

57 In addition to the UV fluorescence observed in *R. hipposideros* in Croatia, the phenomenon was
58 observed at all colonies of the *R. hipposideros* sites surveyed in Britain, Croatia and Serbia,
59 confirming that this phenomenon is geographically widespread in this species. The pelage of adult *R.*
60 *hipposideros* of both sexes elicited a strong light blue glowing response, as did tests of the pelage of
61 mummified carcasses of adults found in the roosts.

62 The pigmented membranes of these bats (wing membranes, the nose-leaf, and the tips of the
63 pinnae) elicited no response, although these was a slight response from the unpigmented skin inside
64 the pinnae. While the wing membranes themselves did not fluoresce under UV light, the short hairs
65 on the wings, particularly on the plagiopatagium, did fluoresce (Figure 2). No fluorescence was
66 observed from the pelage or membranes of juvenile *R. hipposideros*. This included both non-volant
67 animals and volant animals up to the age of 6 months (Figure 3).

68 UV fluorescence was also recorded in colonies of *R. blasii* in both Croatia and Serbia (Figure 4), once
69 again the response was restricted to the fur and not the membranes. All of the *R. ferrumequinum*, *R.*
70 *euryale* and *R. mehelyi* we tested elicited no response (Table 1).

71 Discussion.

72 There have been several published studies into the occurrence of UV fluorescence in bats, all nine
73 bat species examined by Travouillon et al. (2023) showed some fluorescence, but the tissues
74 emitting light in their study were mainly membranes and wing bones, with just two of the species
75 tested having fully reactive fur and a further two exhibited fur fluorescence restricted to the neck or
76 parts of the pelage. Tumilson and Tumilson (2021) reported no fluorescence in the eight bat species

78 they surveyed in Arkansas. Toussaint et al. (2022) reported no fluorescence in the one bat species
79 (*Plecotus auritus*) they tested amongst 23 other mammal species, and Gual-Suárez et al. (2024)
80 found bristles on the feet of *Tadarida brasiliensis* fluorescing. Reinhold (2022) describes striking
81 fluorescent wing markings in *Nyctimene robinsoni* along with a full pelage response as a blue glow
82 but in a further seven species she tested, six gave very mild responses on the tips of their fur or on
83 claws and wing bones and one species did not react at all. In our study two of the five European
84 rhinolophids exhibited full pelage fluorescence. On the evidence collected to date, it appears that
85 full pelage fluorescence is relatively unusual in bats.

86 As to its function, Marshall and Johnsen (2017) suggest a checklist for ecologically significant
87 fluorescence and key amongst these is the spectral sensitivity range of potential viewers. If the UV
88 fluorescence in *R. hipposideros* and *R. blasii* is adaptive and being used to signal to conspecifics, we
89 would expect the species to have the ability to perceive the wavelengths of light being emitted.
90 Some bat species do have vision in short wavelength colours and into UV spectrum (Gorresen 2015),
91 and this is dependent on them having cone photoreceptors in their retina with the short wavelength
92 sensitive opsins (S opsin) required to detect these spectra (Müller et al., 2009). However, Zhao et al.
93 (2009) have shown a divergence in different bat evolutionary lineages regarding the S opsin gene,
94 and it has been lost from the Rhinolophidae, probably due to an evolutionary trade-off in sensory
95 systems, with this and related families evolving more highly sophisticated Constant Frequency
96 echolocation systems and relying less on vision compared with other bats (Jones et al. 2013; Xuan et
97 al. 2012).

98 If the fluorescence is not for signalling conspecifics, it may be used as a type of Batesian mimicry
99 defending species used against potential predators. In which case we would expect to be able to
100 identify a suitable defended or unpalatable species that is being mimicked. As other bat species are
101 neither unpalatable or defended against larger nocturnal avian or mammalian predators, there are
102 not any other volant mammal subjects to mimic. The closest we can come to a non-volant potential
103 unpalatable species of a similar size are shrews, but there is no evidence that they are UV
104 fluorescent (Toussaint et al. 2022), and so it does not appear that this is a case of Batesian mimicry.

105 In which case, it would appear that UV fluorescence in these rhinolophid species is non-adaptive and
106 probably a by-product of the species' physiological processes (Toussaint et al., 2023). Fur naturally
107 has a degree of photoluminescence because it contains the protein keratin, but this does not explain
108 the luminous response which we have from UV light. The two chemical groups that could potentially
109 cause the fluorescence in the fur of these species are porphyrins or a build-up of tryptophan
110 metabolites (Reinhold et al., 2023). Porphyrins generally emit fluorescence that is pink, orange, and
111 red (Olson et al. 2021), and therefore it is more likely the cause of UV fluorescence in *R. hipposideros*
112 and *R. blasii* are tryptophan metabolites, which fluoresce across a range of the visible spectrum
113 including the shorter blue wavelengths (Pine et al., 1985). A build-up of metabolites over time may
114 also explain why the phenomenon is not observed in juvenile *R. hipposideros* that would not yet
115 have accumulated the tryptophan metabolites needed to elicit the response from UV light, we
116 believe this is the same for juvenile *R. blasii*. At one of our cave study sites in Croatia visited in late
117 August, a group of 20 *R. blasii* elicited no response from UV lights, on a return visit six months later
118 when the over-wintering colony numbered 50 animals the majority of the bats were fluorescing. In
119 common with other rhinolophids, it appears the adults moved out of the maternity colony at the
120 end of the summer leaving the juveniles alone at this time of the year.

122 Having pelage that glows under UV light appears maladaptive for species that are potentially prone
123 to crepuscular and nocturnal predation. Principal amongst the nocturnal predators are owls;
124 although owls themselves lack S opsins, adaptations to their rod vision enable them to detect bright
125 signals from UV reflecting surfaces, such as some feathers (Höglund et al., 2019) and presumably UV
126 emitting fur. Other potential predators, such as domestic cats, can see in UV (Douglas and Jeffery,
127 2014) and their hunting could also benefit from this fluorescence. The behavioural adaptation
128 observed in *R. hipposideros* and *R. blasii* foraging at night, flying within, under or close to vegetative
129 clutter (Bücs & Csorba 2023; Schofield et al., 2022), as well as protecting these species from
130 predation by diurnal predators hunting at dusk and dawn, may also reduce nocturnal predation by
131 predators able to detect the shorter wavelength light the bats are emitting when UV light is present.

132 The UV fluorescence demonstrated by the adults of these bats may provide those monitoring their
133 population status with an additional tool. Counts of glowing bats versus those not eliciting a
134 fluorescent response inside roosts or as they emerge could be a new tool for identifying maternity
135 roosts and estimating the productivity of single species colonies. In addition, *R. blasii* is a cryptic
136 species that roosts with *R. euryale* in some areas of Europe and the two species are difficult to
137 separate without catching and handling the bats. The use of UV-torches to determine whether *R.*
138 *blasii* is present and if so, what proportion of the mixed colony they comprise would be a non-
139 invasive means of monitoring this species. This was the case in this study at Lazareva pećina in
140 Serbia, a site known to have a mixed colony consisting of around 70% *R. euryale* and 30% *R. blasii*.
141 Surveying the cave with UV-torches resulted in 420 bats eliciting no response and 180 that glowed,
142 in line with the results of mist-netting surveys carried out at the site (own data).

143 Acknowledgements.

144 Our work in Croatia was with the permission of the Public Institution Lokrum Reserve and conducted
145 under licence CLASS: UP/I-352-04/23-08/53, REG. NO.: 517-10-1-2-23-5. The photographs in this
146 paper were taken under licence issued by Natural Resources Wales: S093104/1. We're grateful to
147 Jenny MacPherson and Bridgit Schofield for their useful comments on drafts of this paper. We are
148 also grateful to the two reviewers of this paper, who helped to significantly strengthen our findings.

149 References

150 Bücs, S.L., Csorba, G., 2023. Blasius's Horseshoe Bat *Rhinolophus blasii* Peters, 1867. In: Russo, D.
151 (eds) Chiroptera. Handbook of the Mammals of Europe. Springer, Cham.
152 https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-44029-9_41

153 Douglas R.H., Jeffery G., 2014. The spectral transmission of ocular media suggests ultraviolet
154 sensitivity is widespread among mammals. Proc. R. Soc. B 281: 20132995. doi:
155 10.1098/rspb.2013.2995

156 Garcia C.M., de Perera T.B., 2002. Ultraviolet-based female preferences in a viviparous fish. Behav.
157 Ecol. 52(1): 1-6. doi: 10.1007/s00265-002-0482-2

- 159 Gorresen P.M., Cryan P.M., Dalton D.C., Wolf S., Bonaccorso F.J., (2015). Ultraviolet Vision May be
160 Widespread in Bats. *Acta chiropterol.* 17(1): 193-198. doi: 10.3161/15081109ACC2015.17.1.017
- 161 Gual-Suárez F., Ramos-H D., García F., Pérez-Montes L.E., Narro Delgado A., Medellín R.A., 2024.
162 Ultraviolet-induced photoluminescent bristles on the feet of the Mexican free-tailed bat (*Tadarida*
163 *brasiliensis*). *Mamm Biol.* doi: 10.1007/s42991-024-00441-3
- 164 Hamchand R., Lafountain A.M., Büchel, R. Maas K.R., Hird S.M., Warren M., Frank H.A. Brückner C.,
165 2021. Red Fluorescence of European Hedgehog (*Erinaceus europaeus*) Spines Results from Free-Base
166 Porphyrins of Potential Microbial Origin. *J Chem Ecol* 47: 588–596. doi: 10.1007/s10886-021-01279-6
- 167 Höglund J., Mitkus M., Olsson P., Lind O., Drews A., Bloch N.I., Kelber A., Strandh M., 2019. Owls lack
168 UV-sensitive cone opsin and red oil droplets, but see UV light at night: Retinal transcriptomes and
169 ocular media transmittance. *Vis. Res.* 158: 109-119. doi: 10.1016/j.visres.2019.02.005
- 170 Jones G., Teeling E.C., Rossiter S.J., 2013. From the ultrasonic to the infrared: molecular evolution
171 and the sensory biology of bats. *Front Physiol.* 30(4): 117. doi:10.3389/fphys.2013.00117
- 172 Kohler A.M., Olson E.R., Martin J.G., Anich P.S., 2019. Ultraviolet fluorescence discovered in New
173 World flying squirrels (*Glaucomys*). *J. Mammal.* 100: 21-30. doi./10.1093/jmammal/gyy177
- 174 Lagorio, M.G., Cordon G.B., Iriel A., 2015. Reviewing the relevance of fluorescence in biological
175 systems. *Photochem. Photobiol. Sci.*, 14: 1538-1559. doi: 10.1039/c5pp00122f
- 176 Marshall J., Johnsen S., 2017. Fluorescence as a means of colour signal enhancement. *Phil. Trans. R.*
177 *Soc. B* 372: 20160335. doi:10.1098/rstb.2016.0335
- 178 Müller B., Glösmann M., Peichl L., Knop G.C., Hagemann C., Ammermüller J., 2009. Bat Eyes Have
179 Ultraviolet-Sensitive Cone Photoreceptors. *PLoS ONE* 4(7): e6390. doi:
180 10.1371/journal.pone.0006390
- 181 Nummert G., Ritson K., Nemvalts K., 2023. Photoluminescence in the Garden dormouse (*Eliomys*
182 *quercinus*). *Zoology* 157: 126075. doi: 10.1016/j.zool.2023.126075
- 183 Olson E.R., Carlson M.R., Ramanujam V.M.S., Sears L., Anthony S.E., Spaeth Anich P., Ramon L.,
184 Hulstrand A., Jurewicz M., Gunnelsom A.S., Kohler A.M., Martin J.G., 2021. Vivid biofluorescence
185 discovered in the nocturnal Springhare (*Pedetidae*). *Sci Rep* 11: 4125. doi: 10.1038/s41598-021-
186 83588-0
- 187 Pine R., Rice J., Bucher J., Tank D., Greenhall A., 1985. Labile pigments and fluorescent pelage in
188 didelphid marsupials. *Mammalia*, 49(2): 249-256. doi: 10.1515/mamm.1985.49.2.249
- 189 Reinhold L., 2022. Photoluminescent yellow wing markings of Eastern Tube-nosed Fruit Bats
190 (*Nyctimene robinsoni*). *North Queensland Naturalist* 52: 69-74.
- 191 Reinhold L., Rymer T.L., Helgen K.M., Wilson D.T., 2023. Photoluminescence in mammal fur: 111
192 years of research. *J. Mammal.* 104(4): 892–906. doi:10.1093/jmammal/gyad027
- 193 Schofield H., Reiter G., Dool S.E., 2022. Lesser Horseshoe Bat *Rhinolophus hipposideros* (André,
194 1797). In: Hackländer, K., Zacos, F.E. (eds) *Handbook of the Mammals of Europe. Handbook of the*
195 *Mammals of Europe.* Springer, Cham. doi: 10.1007/978-3-319-65038-8_39-1
- 196 Sobral G., Souza-Gudinho F., 2022. Fluorescence and UV-visible reflectance in the fur of several
197 *Rodentia* genera. *Sci Rep* 12: 12293. doi: 10.1038/s41598-022-15952-7

- 199 Sparks J.S., Schelly R.C., Smith W.L., Davis M.P., Tchernov D., Pieribone V.A., Gruber D.F., 2014. The
200 Covert World of Fish Biofluorescence: A Phylogenetically Widespread and Phenotypically Variable
201 Phenomenon. *PLoS One* 9: e83259. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0083259
- 202 Spitschan M., Aguirre G., Brainard D., Sweeney A.M., 2016. Variation of outdoor illumination as a
203 function of solar elevation and light pollution. *Sci Rep* 6: 26756. doi: 10.1038/srep26756
- 204 Toussaint S.L.D., Ponstein J., Thoury M., Métivier R., Kalthoff D.C., Habermeyer B., Guilard R., Bock
205 S., Mortensen P., Sandberg S., Gueriau P., Amson E., 2022. Fur glowing under ultraviolet: in situ
206 analysis of porphyrin accumulation in the skin appendages of mammals. *Integr. Zool.* 18: 15–26. doi:
207 10.1111/1749-4877.12655
- 208 Travouillon K.J., Cooper C., Bouzin C.J.T., Umbrello L.S., Lewis S.W., 2023. “All-a-glow: spectral
209 characteristics confirm widespread fluorescence for mammals,” *R Soc Open Sci.* 10(10): 230325. doi:
210 10.1098/rsos.230325
- 211 Tumilson C.R., Tumilson T.L., 2021. Investigation of Fluorescence in selected mammals of Arkansas.
212 *JAAS.* 75: 29-35. doi: 10.54119/jaas.2021.7515
- 213 Xuan F., Hu K., Zhu T., Racey P., Wang X., Zhang S., Sun Y., 2012. Immunohistochemical evidence of
214 cone-based ultraviolet vision in divergent bat species and implications for its evolution. *Comp.*
215 *Biochem. Physiol. B.* 161(4): 398-403. doi: 10.1016/j.cbpb.2012.01.005
- 216 Zhang Z., Zhang Y., Zhang Q., Chen J.M., Albert Porcar-Castell A., Guanter L., Wu Y., Zhang X., Wang
217 H., Ding D., Li Z., 2020. Assessing bi-directional effects on the diurnal cycle of measured solar-
218 induced chlorophyll fluorescence in crop canopies. *Agric. For. Meteorol.* 295: 108147. doi:
219 10.1016/j.agrformet.2020.108147
- 220 Zhao H., Rossiter S.J., Teeling E.C., Li C., Cotton J.A., Zhang S., 2009. The evolution of color vision in
221 nocturnal mammals. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 106(22): 8980-8985. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0813201106

Site and Region	Country	Species	Colony size	Result
Lokrum Island, South Dalmatia	Croatia	<i>R. hipposideros</i>	25	Luminescence
Powys, Wales	UK	<i>R. hipposideros</i>	298	Luminescence
Shropshire, England	UK	<i>R. hipposideros</i>	215	Luminescence
Gower, Wales	UK	<i>R. hipposideros</i>	382	Luminescence
		<i>R. ferrumequinum</i>	50	No response
Wiltshire A, England	UK	<i>R. ferrumequinum</i>	96	No response
Wiltshire B, England	UK	<i>R. hipposideros</i>	10	Luminescence
Somerset, England	UK	<i>R. ferrumequinum</i>	75	No response
		<i>R. hipposideros</i>	88	Luminescence
Somerset, England	UK	<i>R. hipposideros</i>	45	Luminescence
Monmouthshire, Wales	UK	<i>R. hipposideros</i>	213	Luminescence
Kopaonik Mt	Serbia	<i>R. ferrumequinum</i>	4	No response
		<i>R. hipposideros</i>	2	Luminescence
Canetova pećina, Eastern Serbia	Serbia	<i>R. mehelyi</i>	1	No response
Pećina u dolini Crne reke, Eastern Serbia	Serbia	<i>R. hipposideros</i>	4	Luminescence
Gornjak, Eastern Serbia	Serbia	<i>R. ferrumequinum</i>	88	No response
		<i>R. euryale</i>	13	No-response
Lazareva pećina, Eastern Serbia	Serbia	Mixed colony of <i>R. euryale</i> and <i>R. blasii</i>	600	Mixed response (180 luminescence, 420 no response)
Ercegovci A, Sibenik-Knin Countz	Croatia	<i>R. ferrumequinum</i>	5	No response
		<i>R. hipposideros</i>	2	Luminescence
Ercegovci B, Sibenik-Knin Countz	Croatia	<i>R. hipposideros</i>	5	Luminescence
Golubic, Zadar County	Croatia	<i>R. blasii</i>	50	Luminescence
		<i>R. hipposideros</i>	1	Luminescence
Nova Krslja, Karlovac County	Croatia	<i>R. ferrumequinum</i>	24	No response
		<i>R. hipposideros</i>	14	Luminescence
Kordunski Ljeskovac A, Karlovac County	Croatia	<i>R. ferrumequinum</i>	2	No response
		<i>R. hipposideros</i>	5	Luminescence
Kordunski Ljeskovac B, Karlovac County	Croatia	<i>R. hipposideros</i>	8	Luminescence
Lipovac, Karlovac County	Croatia	<i>R. ferrumequinum</i>	9	No response
		<i>R. hipposideros</i>	29	Luminescence
Stara Krslja A, Karlovac County	Croatia	<i>R. euryale</i>	3	No response
		<i>R. ferrumequinum</i>	2	No response
		<i>R. hipposideros</i>	9	Luminescence
Stara Krslja B, Karlovac County	Croatia	<i>R. euryale</i>	4	No response
		<i>R. ferrumequinum</i>	18	No response
		<i>R. hipposideros</i>	29	Luminescence



Figure 1 – UV Fluorescing *R. hipposideros* at roost.



Figure 2 - Fluorescent pelage and hairs on the plagiopatagium of the wing of adult *R. hipposideros*.



Figure 3. Juvenile *R. hipposideros* showing no UV fluorescence from the pelage.



Figure 4. UV-Fluorescence in adult *R. blasii*

Manuscript body

[Download source file \(36.92 kB\)](#)

Tables

[Download source file \(17.48 kB\)](#)

Table 1. The results of UV testing Rhinolphid colones.

Figures

Figure 1 - [Download source file \(6.7 MB\)](#)

Figure 1 – UV Fluorescing R. hipposideros at roost.

Figure 2 - [Download source file \(3.47 MB\)](#)

Figure 2 - Fluorescent pelage and hairs on the plagiopatagium of the wing of adult R. hipposideros.

Figure 3 - [Download source file \(2.73 MB\)](#)

Figure 3. Juvenile R. hipposideros showing no UV fluorescence from the pelage.

Figure 4 - [Download source file \(17.88 MB\)](#)

Figure 4. UV-Fluorescence in adult R. blasii