



Short Note

Metabarcoding on an empty stomach: using stomach swabs to investigate the diet of the Asian musk shrew, *Suncus murinus*

Markus ANDRÉ ROESCH^{1,2,*}, Aïmane CORREIA^{1,3}, Chloé BERNET¹, Arthur CHOEUR^{1,4}, Antoine GUILLOUX¹, Nicolas HUET¹, Mickaël SANCHEZ^{1,4}, Sébastien DERVIN¹, Catarina RATO^{2,5}

¹Association Nature Océan Indien, 97429 Petite-Ile, La Réunion, France

²CIBIO - Research Centre in Biodiversity and Genetic Resources, University of Porto, 4485-661 Vairão, Portugal

³Université des Antilles, UFR SEN, 97157 Pointe à Pitre, Guadeloupe, France

⁴Université de La Réunion, UMR PVBMT, 97410 Saint-Pierre, La Réunion, France

⁵BIOPOLIS Program in Genomics, Biodiversity and Land Planning, 4485-661 Vairão, Portugal

Keywords:

diet, alien species, next-generation sequencing, Soricidae, operational taxonomic units, Réunion Island.

Article history:

Received: 27 September 2024

Accepted: 3 March 2025

Acknowledgements

We thank Antoine Le Pajolec and Thomas Roussel for their help in the field and the Conservatoire du Littoral for permitting access to the field site. We would like to thank the section editor and two reviewers for their valuable comments and feedback on the manuscript.

Abstract

Suncus murinus, a widespread Soricidae, has been introduced to several islands, strongly impacting endemic species. Its dietary habits, especially at its introduction sites, are poorly understood and the level of impact on native taxa remains unknown. Obtaining dietary samples from shrews is challenging because of their high metabolic rates and rapid digestion. We tested the effectiveness of stomach swabs in analysing the diet of *S. murinus* using DNA metabarcoding on 300 individuals from Réunion Island (Western Indian Ocean). Non-target DNA amplification was substantial. We identified five preys belonging to three classes of Arthropoda (Arachnida, Insecta and Malacostraca) and one class of Annelida (Clitellata), with two of them assigned to species level, *Amyntas rodericensis* and *Pycnoscelus surinamensis*. Lycosidae and Malacostraca were the most frequent groups, each with a 50% frequency of occurrence. Stomach swabs provide insights into the dietary composition of *S. murinus*, but low DNA yield and purity limited detailed resolution. We highlight the importance of reducing the time lag between trapping and sample extraction and the use of blocking primers to prevent non-target amplification to enhance resolution of *S. murinus*' diet composition.

Introduction

Biological invasions are a major threat to biodiversity globally. Impacts of invasive alien species (IAS) on native biota are manifold, including direct (i.e., competition for resources, predation) and indirect (i.e., ecosystem functioning) impacts (Linders et al., 2019).

Dietary analyses are crucial for identifying predation pressure of IAS on native taxa (Egeter et al., 2019). Traditional morphological approaches are limited by several factors such as prey type, prey size, level of digestion and degree of mastication. Here, molecular methods, such as DNA metabarcoding, offer enhanced resolution and the ability to detect soft, small and inconspicuous prey items, providing a more comprehensive dietary profile (Gil et al., 2020).

The Asian musk shrew, *Suncus murinus*, is a small nocturnal mammal of the Soricidae family, native to south-east Asia. Its close association to humans and efficient reproductive strategy have facilitated its rapid spread as an IAS worldwide (Chang et al., 1999; Ruedi et al., 1996). Its arrival has been implicated in the loss of endemic lizard species in Guam (Fritts and Rodda, 1998) and Mauritius (Solow et al., 2008).

Field observations and morphological diet analyses indicate that *S. murinus* is primarily insectivorous, but opportunistically feeds on a variety of plants, invertebrates, and vertebrates (Varnham et al., 2002; Advani and Rana, 1981). Detailed dietary investigations of shrews are impeded by their high metabolic rates which results in rapid digestion of prey, yielding little material for stomach or faecal samples (Browett et al., 2023). While capture by hand and immediate processing has resulted in good dietary information (Brown et al., 2014), this approach is

often impractical in challenging field conditions, where sampling immediately after capture is not always feasible.

Here, we use DNA metabarcoding from stomach swabs to investigate the diet of *S. murinus*. We conducted our study on Réunion Island (Western Indian Ocean) where *S. murinus* was introduced in 1730 (Cheke, 1987) and possibly threatens the Critically Endangered, micro-endemic Manapany day gecko, *Phelsuma inexpectata*. Despite being present for almost 300 years, information about *S. murinus*' life history, diet and impact on island biota is very limited. We performed stomach swabs on trapped shrews to investigate *S. murinus* diet and to assess possible threat level of *S. murinus* on *P. inexpectata*. In doing so, we aim to provide a first insight into the dietary composition of *S. murinus* on Réunion Island.

Diet samples were collected from *S. murinus* trapped in a 2-ha area in southern Réunion Island (21°6' S, 55°36' E). Trapping was done by the local NGO Nature Océan Indien, as part of an IAS control programme, using unbaited INRA traps (BTT Mécanique, Roche-Lez-Beaupré, FR), strategically placed along rocks, tree roots and paths (Varnham et al., 2002) and left on site for the whole duration of the project (Nov 2019 - Nov 2021). Thirteen capture sessions were held, each lasting between 4 – 15 days (9.5 ± 4.4, mean ± SD), including 127 traps with daily checks (max. time trapped ~ 12h) resulting in 13,956 corrected trap nights and a capture rate of 2.44 ± 1.37, mean ± SD, shrews per 100 trap nights. A total of 300 shrews were captured and euthanised using cervical dislocation. Specimens were stored at –18 °C until dissection. Due to minimal stomach content, stomach walls were swabbed to collect any possible remains of prey, preserved in 90 % ethanol, and stored at –18 °C until DNA extraction.

DNA was extracted using the E.Z.N.A. Tissue DNA Purification Kit (Omega Bio-Tek, Norcross, GA, USA), following the manufacturer's guidelines. Due to low DNA yield, each extraction consisted in a pool

*Corresponding author

Email address: m_roesch87@hotmail.com (Markus ANDRÉ ROESCH)

Table 1 – Identified prey OTUs in the diet of *Suncus murinus* based on DNA metabarcoding from stomach swabs. Prey was detected in 26 of 75 pooled extraction samples. Detected OTUs with taxonomic classification and the frequency of occurrence (%) of each prey item consumed are given.

Phylum	Class	Order	Family	OTU	Frequency of Occurrence
Annelida	Clitellata	Crassicitellata	Megascolecidae	<i>Amyntas rodericensis</i>	16.67 %
Arthropoda	Arachnida	Araneae	Lycosidae	Lycosidae	50.00 %
Arthropoda	Insecta	Blattodea	NA	Blattodea	16.67 %
Arthropoda	Insecta	Blattodea	Blaberidae	<i>Pycnoscelus surinamensis</i>	16.67 %
Arthropoda	Malacostraca	Isopoda	NA	Isopoda	50.00 %

of four swabs from the same site, reducing the original 300 samples to 75 extraction samples. A short fragment (205 bp) of the mitochondrial cytochrome c oxidase subunit I (COI) was amplified by PCR using the FwhF2-R2n primers from Vamos et al. (2017), previously used to study the diets of bats (Mata et al., 2016), birds (da Silva et al., 2022) and reptiles (Martins et al., 2022). Primers were modified to include Illumina adaptors and a 0–5 bp shift of Ns to increase sequencing diversity and quality. PCR reaction comprised 5 µL QIAGEN Multiplex PCR Master Mix (Qiagen, Crawley, UK), 0.3 µL mix of each forward and reverse primers, 3.4 µL ultra-pure water, and 2.5 µL DNA extract. Three PCR replicates were performed per sample. Cycling conditions consisted of an initial denaturation step at 95 °C for 15 min, followed by 45 cycles of 95 °C for 30 s, 52 °C for 45 s, 72 °C for 20 s, and a final extension at 60 °C for 5 min. All samples were successfully amplified when checked on 2% agarose gel.

Initial PCR clean-up was performed by a 1:3 dilution to remove primer dimer, followed by an indexing PCR using 2.8 µL ultra-pure water, 7 µL 2× Kapa HiFi, 0.7 µL each Index (P7/P5), and 2.8 µL cleaned PCR product. Cycling conditions consisted of an initial denaturation at 95 °C for 3 min, 9 cycles of 95 °C for 30 s, 55 °C for 30 s, 72 °C for 30 s, and a final extension of 72 °C for 5 min. A second bead clean-up using Agencourt AMPure XP beads (Beckman Coulter, Brea, CA, USA) was performed to remove remaining primer dimer, nucleotides, and enzymes. All purified PCR products were quantified using Epoch, followed by normalisation to 20 nM. The library was quantified using qPCR (KAPA Library Quant Kit qPCR Mix; Bio-Rad iCycler), diluted to 4 nM and pooled equimolarly for sequencing using a 300 cycles MiSeq Micro Kit (Illumina) for an average of 25,000 paired-end reads per sample-marker combination. DNA extraction, library preparation and sequencing were performed at CIBIO, University of Porto, Portugal.

Paired-end reads were aligned using PEAR (Zhang et al., 2014), rejecting base pairs with q-scores lower than 26 (Martins et al., 2022). Reads were assigned to samples, and primer sequences were removed using the command *ngsfilter* in OBITools (Boyer et al., 2016), allowing four mismatches. Reads were de-replicated into unique sequences or exact sequence variants (ESVs) and singletons were removed, using *obiuniq*. ESVs differing from the expected 202–208 bp were excluded using *obigrep* and were denoised using *obiclean* with an ‘r’ level of one to remove potentially spurious sequences. An Operational Taxonomic Unit (OTU) table was produced using *obiannotate*, and a match-list with all the internal matches of OTUs was built using *usearch_global* from VSEARCH (Rognes et al., 2016). Further cleaning using the R package LULU (Frøslev et al., 2017) removed potential mtDNA nuclear copies and persisting errors. ESVs with a read count < 1% of the total number of reads of each PCR product were discarded (Mata et al., 2016) and all reads identified in the extraction and PCR controls were subtracted from the corresponding sample batch (Evans et al., 2021).

Taxonomic assignment of OTUs was done using both the Barcode of Life Database (BOLD) and the Basic Local Alignment Search Tool (BLAST), with sequences below 90% similarity assigned to class, 90–95 % to family, and above 95% to species or genus level. BOLD is a reference database that provides taxonomic information and allows comparisons of specimens to closely related species, while BLAST identifies species by comparing nucleotide sequences against a database to find the closest matches. Non-animal taxa, internal parasites (phylum Nematoda) and shrew sequences were removed from the OTU list.

The libraries generated ca. 7.7 million raw sequence reads. Non-target amplification was observed in both samples and controls, with Nematoda accounting for 0.13 % and *S. murinus* for 99.80 % of total reads, respectively. This is a common issue in metabarcoding (McInnes et al., 2017), especially when applied to swabs. After negative controls, singletons, replicates, and taxa filtering, the final diet dataset consisted of 275,647 prey reads present in 26 out of 75 pooled extraction samples, belonging to three classes of Arthropoda and one class of Annelida, with two OTUs assigned to species level, *Amyntas rodericensis*, an introduced earthworm, and *Pycnoscelus surinamensis*, an introduced cockroach. Lycosidae and Malacostraca were the most frequent OTUs identified in our extraction samples, each with a 50 % frequency of occurrence, while the remaining OTUs occurred in 16.67 % of the samples (Tab. 1).

Annelids were reported from other Soricidae diet, with some species being highly specialised on earthworms (Díaz de Pascual and De Ascencio, 2000). *Suncus murinus* in the Indian desert has a strongly plant-based diet (Advani and Rana, 1981), while in Pakistan it has a primarily insect-based diet (Roberts, 1977). In a similar study on *S. murinus* from Mauritius, Brown et al. (2014) was able to retrieve 76 invertebrate prey OTUs, from captured and immediately processed shrews, belonging to three classes of Arthropods (Arachnida, Insecta and Malacostraca) and one class of Mollusca (Gastropoda).

We did not detect vertebrate prey in the diet of *S. murinus*, including the Critically Endangered *P. inexpectata*. However, the absence of vertebrate prey in our results does not confirm that *S. murinus* does not prey on vertebrates, as our study had significant limitations. The low DNA yield from stomach swabs, host DNA amplification bias, and the need for pooled samples likely reduced our ability to detect less abundant prey items. Although identified prey species have mainly terrestrial habits, and *P. inexpectata* is mostly arboreal (Choeur et al., 2023), predation cannot be ruled out.

Suncus murinus has been introduced to multiple islands across the Indo-Pacific, where it disrupts local biodiversity through predation and competition (Solow et al., 2008; Fritts and Rodda, 1998). While metabarcoding is effective for studying diet, constraints remain in the collection of samples from *S. murinus* that critically affect the results. Our low number of OTUs is likely the result of empty shrew stomachs (Browett et al., 2023). We highlight the importance of reducing the time lag between the capture and processing of trapped shrews to increase stomach contents. While we used shrews from an IAS control programme, targeted sampling with minimal time lag could strongly improve dietary resolution while significantly reduce the number of samples needed (see Brown et al., 2014). Alternative trapping methods that facilitate the collection of uncontaminated faeces when logistical constraints do not allow immediate processing should be investigated. Stomach swabs resulted in low DNA yield and purity, necessitating pooled samples for extraction, and exacerbated host amplification and reduced prey data, therefore, we recommend the use of optimized primers (Browett et al., 2023) and blocking primers to prevent non-target amplification and enhance data resolution and accuracy.

Competing interests

The authors have no competing interests to declare.

Author contributions

Conceptualization: MAR, SD, CR; Methodology: MAR, ArC, MS, SD, CR; Data collection: MAR, AiC, CB, ArC, AG, NH, MS; Formal

analysis and investigation: MAR, AiC, CR; Writing - original draft preparation: MAR, CR; Writing - review and editing: MAR, AiC, CB, ArC, AG, NH, MS, SD, CR; Funding acquisition: MAR, CB, MS, SD.

Ethics declarations

Samples used in this study were collected from shrews that were trapped during an invasive alien species control programme led by the local NGO Nature Océan Indien, with methods approved by the *Direction de l'environnement, de l'aménagement et du logement de La Réunion* and in accordance with the French law, *Code de l'environnement* R411-46 & R411-47 and *Code rural et de la pêche maritime* R214-98. 

References

Advani R., Rana B.D., 1981. Food of the house shrew, *Suncus murinus sindensis* in the Indian Desert. *Acta Theriol.* 26(7): 133–134.

Boyer F., Mercier C., Bonin A., Le Bras Y., Taberlet P., Coissac E., 2016. obitools: A unix-inspired software package for DNA metabarcoding. *Mol. Ecol. Resour.* 16(1): 176–182.

Browett S.S., Synnott R., O'Meara D.B., Antwis R.E., Browett S.S., Bown K.J., Wangenstein O.S., Dawson D.A., Searle J.B., Yearsley J.M., McDevitt A.D., 2023. Resource competition drives an invasion-replacement event among shrew species on an island. *J. Anim. Ecol.* 92(3): 698–709.

Brown D.S., Burger R., Cole N., Vencatasamy D., Clare E.L., Montazam A., Symondson W.O., 2014. Dietary competition between the alien Asian Musk Shrew (*Suncus murinus*) and a re-introduced population of Telfair's Skink (*Leiolopisma telfairii*). *Mol. Ecol.* 23(15): 3695–3705.

Chang C.H., Lin J.Y., Lin L.K., Yu J.Y.L., 1999. Annual reproductive patterns of female house shrew, *Suncus murinus*, in Taiwan. *Zool. Sci.* 16(5): 819–826.

Cheke A.S., 1987. The ecology of the surviving native land-birds of Réunion. In: A. Diamond (Ed.), *Studies of Mascarene Island Birds*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, pp. 301–358.

Choer A., Clémencet J., Le Corre M., Roesch M.A., Sanchez M., 2023. Intra-annual variations of microhabitat use and movements of a critically endangered arboreal day gecko endemic to Reunion Island: implications for conservation. *Amphib.-Reptil.* 44(2): 139–152.

da Silva L.P., Oliveira D., Ferreira S., Gonçalves C.I., Valente C., Mata V.A., 2022. Birds as potential suppressing agents of eucalypt plantations' insect pests. *BioControl* 67(6): 571–582.

Díaz de Pascual A., De Ascencao A.A., 2000. Diet of the cloud forest shrew *Cryptotis meridensis* (Insectivora: Soricidae) in the Venezuelan Andes. *Acta Theriol.* 45(1): 13–24.

Egeter B., Roe C., Peixoto S., Puppo P., Easton L.J., Pinto J., Bishop P.J., Robertson B.C., 2019. Using molecular diet analysis to inform invasive species management: A case

study of introduced rats consuming endemic New Zealand frogs. *Ecol. Evol.* 9(9): 5032–5048.

Evans H.K., Bunch A.J., Schmitt J.D., Hoogakker F.J., Carlson K.B., 2021. High-throughput sequencing outperforms traditional morphological methods in Blue Catfish diet analysis and reveals novel insights into diet ecology. *Ecol. Evol.* 11(10): 5584–5597.

Fritts T.H., Rodda G.H., 1998. The role of introduced species in the degradation of island ecosystems: a case history of Guam. *Annu. Rev. Ecol. Syst.* 29(1): 113–140.

Froslev T.G., Kjølter R., Bruun H.H., Ejrnæs R., Brunbjerg A.K., Pietroni C., Hansen A.J., 2017. Algorithm for post-clustering curation of DNA amplicon data yields reliable biodiversity estimates. *Nat. Commun.* 8(1): 1188.

Gil V., Pinho C.J., Aguiar C.A., Jardim C., Rebelo R., Vasconcelos R., 2020. Questioning the proverb 'more haste, less speed': Classic versus metabarcoding approaches for the diet study of a remote island endemic gecko. *PeerJ* 8: e8084.

Linders T.E.W., Schaffner U., Eschen R., Abebe A., Choge S.K., Nigatu L., Mbaabu P.R., Shiferaw H., Allan E., 2019. Direct and indirect effects of invasive species: Biodiversity loss is a major mechanism by which an invasive tree affects ecosystem functioning. *J. Ecol.* 107(6): 2660–2672.

Martins B., Silva-Rocha I., Mata V.A., Gonçalves Y., Rocha R., Rato C., 2022. Trophic interactions of an invasive gecko in an endemic-rich oceanic island: insights using DNA metabarcoding. *Front. Ecol. Evol.* 10: 1197.

Mata V.A., Amorim F., Corley M.F., McCracken G.F., Rebelo H., Beja P., 2016. Female dietary bias towards large migratory moths in the European free-tailed bat (*Tadarida teniotis*). *Biol. Lett.* 12(3): 20150988.

McInnes J.C., Alderman R., Deagle B.E., Lea M.A., Raymond B., Jarman S.N., 2017. Optimised scat collection protocols for dietary DNA metabarcoding in vertebrates. *Methods Ecol. Evol.* 8(2): 192–202.

Roberts T.J., 1977. *The Mammals of Pakistan*. London and Tonbridge, Ernest Benn Ltd. 361 p.

Rognes T., Flouri T., Nichols B., Quince C., Mahé F., 2016. VSEARCH: a versatile open source tool for metagenomics. *PeerJ*, 4: e2584.

Ruedi M., Courvoisier C., Vogel P., Catzeflis F.M., 1996. Genetic differentiation and zoogeography of Asian *Suncus murinus* (Mammalia: Soricidae). *Biol. J. Linn. Soc.* 57(4): 307–316.

Solow A., Seymour A., Beet A., Harris S., 2008. The untamed shrew: on the termination of an eradication programme for an introduced species. *J. Appl. Ecol.* 45(2): 424–427.

Vamos E.E., Elbrecht V., Leese F., 2017. Short COI markers for freshwater macroinvertebrate metabarcoding. *Metabarcoding Metagenom.* 1: e14625.

Varnham K.J., Roy S.S., Seymour A., Mauremootoo J., Jones C.G., Harris S., 2002. Eradicating Indian musk shrews (*Suncus murinus*, Soricidae) from Mauritian offshore islands. In: C.R. Veitch, M.N. Clout (Eds.), *Turning the tide: The eradication of invasive species*, Invasive Species Specialist Group, Species Survival Commission, World Conservation Union, Gland, Switzerland, pp. 342–349.

Zhang J., Kobert K., Flouri T., Stamatakis A., 2014. PEAR: a fast and accurate Illumina Paired-End reAd mergeR. *Bioinformatics* 30(5): 614–620.

Associate Editor: Claudia Romeo