Table S5a: AIC, ΔAIC and AIC weights for the top models (ΔAIC≤2) for "plants"; models were obtained using conditional logistic regression; n = new, ffm = flowering/fruiting/mature.

Ranked models	**AIC**	**ΔAIC**	**AICw**
Model 1: `Used/Avail ~ shrub_nln + shrub_ffm`	803.73	0	0.22
Model 2: `Used/Avail ~ grass_n + shrub_nln + shrub_ffm`	804.16	0.44	0.17
Model 3: `Used/Avail ~ forb_ffm + shrub_nln + shrub_ffm`	804.81	1.08	0.13
Model 4: `Used/Avail ~ shrub_nln`	804.94	1.22	0.12
Model 5: `Used/Avail ~ forb_ffm + grass_n + shrub_nln + shrub_ffm`	805.00	1.27	0.11
Model 6: `Used/Avail ~ grass_n + shrub_nln`	805.56	1.83	0.09
Model 7: `Used/Avail ~ grass_ffm + shrub_nln + shrub_ffm`	805.59	1.86	0.09
Model 8: `Used/Avail ~ forb_n + shrub_nln + shrub_ffm`	805.72	2.00	0.08

Table S5b: AIC, ΔAIC and AIC weights for the top models (ΔAIC≤2) for "plants"; models were obtained using conditional logistic regression; habitat covariates specifications as in Table S5a.

Ranked models	**AIC**	**ΔAIC**	**AICw**
Model 1: `Used/Avail ~ grass_nln + shrub_nln + shrub_ffm`	393.43	0	0.45
Model 2: `Used/Avail ~ forb_ffm + grass_n + shrub_nln + shrub_ffm`	393.89	0.46	0.35
Model 8: `Used/Avail ~ grass_n + shrub_nln`	395.02	1.59	0.20